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IS EAST ASIA INDUSTRIALIZING TOO QUICKLY? ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION IN ITS SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES

Benjamin J. Richardson*

ABSTRACT

East Asia is undergoing its own Industrial Revolution. Special economic zones (SEZs) are playing a key role in its economic transformation. However, industrialization has brought great environmental concern. Over recent decades, China, the Philippines, South Korea, and other newly industrializing economies in East Asia have designated special areas for foreign investment and export production to which have been conceded favourable investment and trade conditions, and often exemption from certain kinds of regulation. “Race to the bottom” and related theories of the effects of inter-jurisdictional competition for investment predict that environmental regulation would be compromised in SEZs. Contrary to such hypotheses, there is some evidence that environmental regulation in East Asia’s industrializing zones is stricter than in other parts of their economies, and that foreign investors are sometimes more strictly regulated than local businesses. The experience of East Asia’s SEZs — particularly in China — suggests we need to re-think how we conceptualise the relationships between environmental law and foreign investment in the context of rapidly industrializing developing countries. This experience also reveals persistent weaknesses in the legal systems of East Asia and the fragility of the rule of (environmental) law. To address this, further reform to the environmental regulation of SEZs should be grounded in more wide-ranging and basic improvements to administrative regimes, policy instruments and access to justice.

* Associate Professor, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, Toronto.
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I. THE SETTING AND PROBLEM

Is East Asia industrializing too quickly? Its bullish economic growth has engendered massive environmental change, making the region one of the planet’s most environmentally stressed.¹ A maelstrom of economic modernization, epitomized by rapid urbanization and industrialization, has created extensive environmental problems that require stronger legal and policy responses. The apotheosis of this economic transformation is the special economic zones (SEZs). In China, South Korea, Thailand and other “Newly Industrializing Countries” (NICs), special areas have been designated to lure foreign investment and export production through favourable investment and trade conditions, and often exemption from certain kinds of regulation. As sites of hyper-industrialization, the SEZs are vulnerable to acute environmental pressure. East Asia’s fragile legal institutions and its difficulties of implementing a rule of law mentality pose great challenges to the development of effective environmental regulations capable of keeping pace with the frenetic economic changes.

The region’s Industrial Revolution has made its members known as Asian “tigers”, “dragons” or “miracles”.² Though they share a similar economic trajectory, there are pertinent differences in their economic structures, legal cultures and political ideologies. Such differences, in turn, lend to variations in their environmental laws and policies.³ South Korea and Taiwan have enjoyed the most consistent growth and relatively higher standards of living, followed by Malaysia and Thailand.⁴ In a third tier are countries that have poorer, largely agricultural-based economies at a nascent stage of industrialization, such as Vietnam and Indonesia.⁵ China is a sui generis case, for its sheer size masks considerable regional variations in its economic moderni-

---

ization. But all of these countries are market economies or moving in that direction, and all seek to modernize their economies through industrialization, foreign investment and technology, and through participation in the global economic and legal order.

While East Asia’s spectacular economic growth has created millions of jobs and lifted living standards for many, its environmental costs have been steep. Poverty alleviation, reduced incidents of illiteracy and infant mortality, and other social and economic benefits must be viewed in the context of a broader deterioration in environmental conditions. A ubiquitous political philosophy in East Asia has been to “grow first, clean up later”. The synergistic effects of high urbanization, rapid population growth and intensification of land use have created some horrendous environmental problems. Lester Brown of the World Resources Institute has drawn comparisons between East Asia’s hyper-industrialization to the earlier industrialization period of Western Europe and North America. The latter regions contained far fewer people and relatively more resources than East Asia today. Never in human history have so many people climbed the food chain so quickly. East Asia’s stupendous economic expansion has been exceeded by even faster growth in pollution.

What do such conditions imply about the condition of environmental regulation in the region? At first glance, most East Asian states have amassed sizeable collections of environmental laws and policies, often borrowing from Western precedents. They have also ratified many international environmental treaties. But appearances can be misleading. China, for example, has a plethora of environmental laws, policies and an extensive administrative apparatus, but its seeming successes in environmental policy formation have not been matched by policy implementation. Persistent weaknesses in its underlying legal in-

10. Id. Of course, economic growth cannot be simplistically equated with high pollution, for historically Eastern Europe had low growth and high pollution while, conversely, Japan enjoyed high growth and lowered pollution. But economic growth and ecological stress regrettably often coincide; see generally DONELLA H. MEADOWS ET AL., BEYOND THE LIMITS: CONFRONTING GLOBAL COLLAPSE: ENVISIONING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE (1992).
stitutions have hampered environmental reforms. These problems can be traced to various cultural and political conditions that undermine the rule of public law. We need to ask whether these general dilemmas of environmental regulation are applicable to the SEZs, which have often been lavished with institutional support and financial resources unavailable in other economic sectors. What variables have shaped environmental regulation in the SEZs? “Race to the bottom” theories of inter-jurisdictional competition for investment would predict that the economic policies of East Asia would undermine environmental regulation in the SEZs. Therefore, through an analysis of the SEZs experience, what theoretical conclusions about environmental governance in the context of rapid industrializing economies can be drawn? And what viable institutional and legal reforms could help reduce the environmental impact of the SEZs?

These are the primary concerns of this Article. The broad argument advanced here is that while SEZs can be hot-spots for environmental mismanagement, these industrializing precincts have also pioneered some improvements to public regulation and policy implementation. Their environmental regulation is sometimes stricter than in other areas that have been shielded from the full brunt of industrial transformation. In many cases, the presence of substantial foreign investment has contributed to improvements in environmental management. Indeed, solutions to East Asian environmental regulatory weaknesses may well reside in the areas most open to the market. SEZs can offer an arena for experimentation of environmental law and for the testing of new regulatory techniques. Also, certain environmental advantages may ensue from engagement with the international market, which can offer environmental technologies, management techniques, and financial resources not readily available domestically. However, until generic weaknesses in the legal institutions of some East Asian nations are addressed, the scope for environmental law reform in some jurisdictions appears to be limited.

In the next Part, this article traces the economic transformation of East Asia and its environmental legacy. It details the role of the SEZs in this process. Part III of the Article examines the state of environmental law in the region and analyzes the drivers and obstacles to environmental law reform in East Asia. It explains the obstacles to establishing the rule of law that must be overcome if the region’s environmental reforms are to institutionally survive. From this general perspective, Part IV considers environmental regulation in the SEZs, focusing on pollution control. It highlights differences between the environmental regulation of foreign investors and domestic enterprises. Part V
canvasses the role that ecological modernization reforms play in strengthening environmental regulations in the SEZs. Through its comparative and interdisciplinary approach, this Article will illuminate the state of environmental regulation in East Asia's NICs, and thereby help advance theoretical debates regarding how environmental law functions in the face of severe economic change. While developments in all industrializing nations of East Asia are considered, China is the focus of the Article because it has had the most substantial experience with the SEZ model.

II. EAST ASIA'S INDUSTRIAL TRANSFORMATION AND ITS ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

A. THE DEVELOPMENTAL ROLE OF EAST ASIAN STATES

East Asia—stretching from Korea to Indonesia—contains many newly industrializing countries. They include, principally, China, Taiwan and South Korea, as well as Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam. They have similar economic ambitions, but differ considerably in their history, geography, demography, ethnicity, political ideology and level of economic progression. Despite their diversity, the state has played a pivotal role in the economic transformation of all the countries. Economic policies have emphasized industrialization and quick economic growth. East Asian governments have accepted primary stewardship of growth and given it higher priority than their Western counterparts. It has been estimated that while it took the West some 400 hundred years to achieve a tenfold increase in per capita income, South Korea and Taiwan bolted to the same gain in merely 50 years.

While virtually all East Asian governments have adopted industrial policies to accelerate and direct economic development, the "tiger" economies of Northeast Asia (e.g., South Korea and Taiwan) have followed the state-driven industrial policy more rigorously and with greater success. Their growth rates were

12. "East Asia" as a region has no authoritatively-accepted boundaries. Its core certainly includes China, Taiwan, North Korea, and South Korea and Japan. It is also commonly understood to include the ASEAN group (Association of South East Asian Nations) and thus the sub regions of Indochina (e.g., Vietnam) and Southeast Asia (e.g., Thailand, Malaysia, Singapore and the Philippines). See further PHILIPPE RENGLER, REGIONALISM IN EAST ASIA (2003).


15. Id.
about 7.5 percent annually through the heady 1970s and 1980s. The resource-poorer countries of South Korea and Taiwan adopted an economic strategy to overcome their impoverished natural resources endowment by investing first in labour-intensive industries (e.g., textiles) and, later, using earnings to expand into capital-intensive high-technology industries. By contrast, the resource-rich countries of mainly Southeast Asia (e.g., Malaysia and Indonesia) focused their economic policies on exploitation of their forests, minerals and other abundant natural resources.

Foreign direct investment (FDI) and international trade have been seminal elements in both types of economic strategies. FDI to developing countries grew from an average of $46B per year in the 1988-93 period to over $240B annually by 2000. South Korea’s annual growth of trade increased from an average of 1.4 percent during the years of 1950-60, to 37 percent in the period of 1970-80. In Taiwan, trade over the same period jumped from 6.5 to 28.6 percent. East Asia is now a magnet for huge quantities of FDI, attracting more foreign investment than any other developing country region. A wide variety of projects have been targeted for FDI, especially chemicals, metallurgy, car manufacturing, electronics and textile manufacturing. By 2002, China had become the world’s largest recipient of FDI, with a US$53 billion annual inflow. Most of this investment went to China’s SEZs and open economic cities. Between 1980 and 2000, Shenzhen’s SEZ sucked in US$20 billion of FDI, and recorded the fastest growth of any part of China. Although much of this investment into China, and some other parts of East Asia,
is from North American and European companies, increasingly it is also from other East Asian companies, especially Japanese firms.27

Underpinning the economic transformation of East Asia is state-steered development.28 East Asia’s economic “miracle” has not been a serendipitous consequence of a conflation of various market forces, but a result of specific economic policies and active intervention by governments. Unlike the earlier 19th century laissez-faire traditions of the West, state involvement in East Asia began not in the liberal mould of detached market regulation, but as a deep involvement in capital allocation and the restructuring of markets.29 After their independence, most postcolonial administrations in the region nationalized foreign companies, set up numerous state enterprises, and launched ambitious national development plans. Governments in Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and Thailand all saw state intervention in the market as crucial to support economic growth.30 Their industrialization took the shape of command capitalism, wherein economic development was centrally planned, markets were highly regulated, and physical infrastructure such as transport and communication facilities was subsidised by the state.31 In Taiwan, the state legislated to help transform agricultural lands into high intensity industrial zones.32 The Thai government’s economic blueprint was to shift from import-substitution to export-oriented investment.33 South Korea’s former President Park Chung-Hee built an authoritarian political regime from which he imposed policies to stimulate the rapid modernization of the economy through periodic five-year economic plans in

27. OECD, supra note 23, at 33. One quarter of Malaysia’s FDI has come from Singapore in recent years and Hong Kong provides about 60 percent of China’s FDI. Id. at 34.
partnership with the *chaebol* industrial conglomerates such as Hyundai and Samsung. Under such economic conditions, law became an instrument of state power rather than a means of constraining the state.

Since the early 1980s, in line with global trends, East Asian economies have been increasingly deregulated. There has been a concerted effort to dilute central economic planning and state economic monopolies through a market-driven model based on neo-liberal assumptions such as rational individual choice, minimal state control, market competition and efficiency, and free trade. Traditionally state-controlled sectors such as telecommunications, electricity, railways, and airlines have been opened to foreign investment. The socialist countries of the region — China and Vietnam — have also embraced these reforms. Since the late 1980s the Vietnamese economy has surged in the wake of the *doi moi* reforms to open the economy to international capital and to reduce central government interference. There has also been a trend in much of East Asia away from heavy industry to investment in the high technology and service sectors, heralding what some commentators describe as Asia's "New Economy". The role of policy-makers in the region has thus moved, but not entirely, from interventionist, nation-building activities, to being a facilitator of market forces in which the private sector plays the hegemonic role.

These economic policy changes towards government downsizing and market liberalization have been influenced by the growing regional presence of international economic institutions, notably the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF)

---

34. The term Chaebol refers to corporate groups, often large in scale, which are run by families.
and the World Trade Organization (WTO). The World Bank, IMF and affiliated organizations have traditionally used their financial leverage to impose free-market, capitalist policies including liberalization of international trade and investment, privatization of government assets and services, and the elimination of market-impairing regulation. Governments in Malaysia, the Philippines, Thailand and other nations have adopted policies and restructured their economies in response to the pressures of the global market and the prescriptions of international financial agencies. The Asian economic crisis of 1997-98 led to international financiers such as the IMF assuming more influence in domestic economic policy-making and, therefore, more influence over environmental policy. A new skepticism emerged about the nature of business-government relations and East Asian governance institutions, highlighting problems of crony capitalism and speculative investment. Consequently, the IMF became more assertive in championing market liberalization policies. For example, the response to Indonesia's financial crisis after 1998 was an agreement with the IMF for a financial bailout that included a controversial provision to reduce legal restrictions on foreign ownership of land and forests. One aspect of economic policy that survived virtually unscathed from this period were the SEZs.

B. Emergence of the Special Economic Zones

The SEZ concept

A central component of the economic governance of East Asia is the special economic zone (SEZ). Taiwan and South Korea es-

---

43. See Mark Beeson, *Indonesia, the East Asian Crisis and the Commodification of the Nation-State*, 3 NEW POL. ECON. 357, 361 (1998).
45. See Haque, *supra* note 37, at 103.
established Asia's first SEZs, oriented towards export processing, in the mid-1960s. Their precedents were soon emulated by India and the Philippines.

Special economic zones are geographically or juridically bounded areas designated by governments for foreign investment and export-oriented industrialization, to which are conceded favourable investment and trade conditions, and reduced red-tape. They have been established as windows for foreign investment and technology, to thereby act as catalysts for the modernization and growth of domestic economies. By locating them in underdeveloped areas, SEZs have also been used by governments to alleviate poverty. The zones are a caricature of the rapid globalization of markets, and they have been established in numerous countries. While a study undertaken by the International Labour Organization in 1987 identified about 175 SEZs in 53 countries, this list had grown to some 850 such zones worldwide by the end of the 1990s. According to a 1996 survey by the International Development Research Council there were also over 12,000 smaller industrial estates in 90 countries.

Special economic zones and its variants have ancient roots. The concept of free economic towns arose in Europe during the Middle Ages, and the British Empire established many duty free ports (e.g., Singapore, Gibraltar, Hong Kong) in a continuation of this tradition.

Zones, Development and the New International Division of Labour, 22 J. DEV. STUD. 753 (1986).

49. MICHAEL W. OSBORNE, CHINA'S SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES 75 (1986).


52. Dowling, supra note 14, at 309.


There is a diversity of SEZs, which reflect different philosophies, objectives, and means of achieving them. This is evident by their nomenclature, which includes such various descriptions as free trade zones, export processing zones and technology development zones. A 1992 report of the World Bank defined an SEZ as "an industrial estate, usually a fenced in area of 10—300 hectares, that specializes in manufacturing for export". Today’s zones have evolved from this limited concept, and range from the small, enclave export-processing centres, such as at airports and seaports, to entire cities serving as integrated development nodes. Indeed, Hong Kong and Singapore are, in effect, entire SEZs. There is also variation in the governance of SEZs; some are privately owned while public SEZs are managed by local, regional or national authorities. The uniqueness of a SEZ depends on the particular model adopted, and its mix of subsidized physical infrastructure, favourable investment and trade conditions such as lower taxes and duty-free import of manufacturing equipment, and exemptions from regulation such as controls on project development. Special economic production zones are intended to encourage companies to locate there to take advantage of the promised lower costs of production. In turn, the SEZs can benefit host countries through employment growth, poverty reduction, introduction of advanced technologies and foreign exchange earnings. In practice, of course, the economic achievements of SEZs have varied immensely, although East Asia’s SEZs have been among the most economically successful in the world.

Special economic zones in China

In the 1980s, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) took an active interest in SEZs, and decided to establish SEZs as discrete "laboratories for innovative domestic economic reforms", undertaken on an experimental basis in order to minimize potential wider social unrest and political conflict. China’s SEZs became

59. Id.
60. PUBLIC VS. PRIVATE FREE ZONES (Richard L. Bolin ed., 1993).
63. MADANI, supra note 58.
65. Id. at 20.
the most comprehensive in East Asia, functioning as integrated
development centres for both foreign and Chinese enterprises.
Foreign investment was enticed with preferential measures and
incentives, consisting of special tax status for foreign investors,
lower tariffs, better infrastructure, long-term land leases, and ex-
pedited government approval procedures. The SEZs also bene-
fited from special legal and institutional arrangements, including
an upgraded administrative ranking and more decision-making
power than usually available to municipal-level governments.

Though, at first glance, the SEZs might appear to be an
arena for unabashed market deregulation, they are as much a
product of government regulation and policy as any other sector
of the economy. But the state's management of the economy has
taken on a different guise. This transformation of the role of the
state has been most pronounced in China. After several decades
of largely ineffectual, central bureaucratic control, a bold new ec-
onomic policy was outlined at the Third Plenum of the 11th CCP
in December 1978. Then Chairman, Deng Xiao Ping, intro-
duced China to a new market ethic, proclaiming, “to get rich is
glorious”. The SEZ reforms were married to other economic
reforms, including rational commodity pricing, marketable land
use rights in urban centres, new capital allocation and taxation
measures, enterprise management reform to improve productiv-
ity, and the decentralization of some economic decision-making
to local governments. The period of economic reforms thus
marked a shift in governmental focus from both Confucian and
Maoist ideologies, where a new market ethic of profit was viewed
as the primary goal and material rewards were introduced to im-
prove individual motivation and enterprise performance.

Presently, China has the most extensive array of SEZs in
East Asia — indeed, in the whole world. In the early 1980s, the
CCP designated four SEZs, at Shenzhen, Shantou Zhuhai, and
Xiamen. In 1984, Hainan Island was opened as the fifth SEZ.
These flagship zones would eventually become the catalysts of

---

66. See, e.g., Bin X. San, Pudong: Another Special Economic Zone in China? An
Analysis of the Special Regulations and Policy for Shanghai's Pudong New Area, 14
67. George O. White, Enter the Dragon: Foreign Direct Investment Laws and
68. OSBORNE, supra note 49, at 82.
69. Paul G. Harris, Getting Rich Is Glorious: Environmental Values in the Peo-
70. See HARVIE, supra note 46, at 3.
71. See Jao & Leung, supra note 64. Zhuhai is in Guandong Province and
Xiamen is in Fujian Province.
Since the mid-1980s, Chinese authorities extended SEZ privileges to many other areas of the country. In 1984, this process began when "open door" economic privileges were offered to fourteen coastal cities. In 1985, the concept of open cities was expanded to "open regions", and a number of open coastal areas were proclaimed, mainly along the Yangtze River delta and the Pearl River delta. Also, within these SEZ cities and areas, various special sub-zones were designed. For example, "Economic and Technical Development Zones" were set up to cater to technology-intensive industries, such as the Pudong New Zone in Shanghai. During the 1990s, the State Council opened to the market some border cities, as well all the capital cities of inland provinces and autonomous regions. Today, the range of development zones in China is quite staggering. By 2003, it was estimated that there were an astonishing 3,837 development zones in all. Interestingly, less than one third of these zones were approved by the State Council or provincial governments; local authorities, impatient to get a slice of the burgeoning foreign investment business, set up the remaining zones.

The preferential tax treatment and some other benefits offered to investors in SEZs are gradually being whittled away to allow more uniform market conditions throughout China. China's entry into the WTO in December 2001 has provoked a major review of the taxation system and a new unified corporate tax system.

---

73. For an overview of the various investment zones, see China's Investment Zones, 25 STEEL TIMES INT'L 42 (2001).
74. CRANE, supra note 64, at 76, 97.
75. Id.
76. San, supra note 66.
78. They are: (i) the flagship SEZs (Shenzhen, Shantou, Zhuhai, Xiamen and Hainan Island); (ii) technological development zones; (iii) free-trade zones for international trade and export processing; (iv) high-technology, industrial development zones; (v) border and economic cooperation zones; (vi) export-processing zones in special enclosed areas; and (vii) Taiwan investment zones. See Julie Walton, Zoning, in 30 CHINA BUS. REV. 24 (2003).
80. Id. Only 1,215 of China's SEZ's were formally approved.
tax law is planned for introduction by the end of 2006. Authorities are looking to replace the tax distinction between foreign and domestic enterprises by a policy favouring particular industries, regardless of their ownership or location. Nonetheless, reflecting the often-decentralized nature of economic policymaking in China, some SEZ authorities are continuing to introduce their own new tax breaks to attract more investment, and new zones continue to be opened without central government imprimatur. The SEZs will likely continue to be viable and enjoy comparative advantages over other areas because of their geographic location, better infrastructure and services, and better planned urban development.

Special economic zones in other East Asian nations

Special economic zones and concomitant market stimulants have been introduced by other industrializing East Asian nations. Virtually all countries have pursued market deregulation policies in relation to trade, investment, capital flow, and exchange rate. Special economic zones were pioneered in the region by Taiwan and South Korea. Taiwan’s first export-processing zone was set up in 1965 in Chien-Jiang, Kaohsiung City, followed by the opening of more zones in Nantze and Taichung in 1969. All of these export-processing zones are managed by Taiwan’s Export Processing Zones Administration. These zones were very successful in their early years, with an average annual growth in exports of about 61 percent from 1967 to 1979. However, by the early 1980s, new investment had largely dried up — reflecting their increasing redundancy as infrastructure and duty-free arrangements improved elsewhere in Taiwan. The Taiwanese gov-

84. James Kynge, China Ready to Scrap Tax Breaks for Foreign Companies, FIN. TIMES, Nov. 13, 2001, at 15 (stating that the WTO tenet of “national treatment” requires creation of a level playing field for domestic and foreign companies).
86. See, e.g., Phil T. Bangsberg, China Province Eyes Closer Taiwan Ties, J. COM., June 10, 2004, at 1.
88. For a compendium of foreign investment legislation in some East Asian countries, see ASEAN’s list at http://www.aseansec.org/6530.htm.
91. UNIDO, supra note 89, at 122.
ernment, however, has recently renewed its interest in SEZs, and has established several high-technology science parks to fulfill its goal of being a world leader in selected capstone industries.92

Like Taiwan, South Korea organized special industrial parks and export processing zones in the 1960s and 1970s to promote the advancement of under-developed regions and thereby achieve a more decentralized pattern of development in areas away from Seoul, which had the lion’s share of investment.93 The first industrial parks for export production were set up in Ulsan in 1962 and Kuro in 1965.94 In the early 1970s, two major export-promotion zones were designated in Masan and Iri.95 A variety of regulations were enacted to create an enduring policy basis for these industrial parks and zones.96 Its SEZs were initially expected to spearhead the development of capital-intensive heavy industries such as iron, steel and petrochemicals.97 In the 1980s, the SEZs shifted focus to high-technology industries as the government’s economic policy favoured investment in computers, semiconductors, telecommunications and biotechnologies.98 Over time, South Korea’s SEZs have waned in importance: by 1985, manufactured good exports from its SEZs amounted to only 2.9 percent of the country’s total manufacturing exports.99 But they have not become irrelevant, as evidenced by South Korea’s recent Act on Designation and Operation of Free Economic Zones 2002,100 which evinces a commitment to continue to use SEZs as a vehicle for the country’s on-going economic modernization.

A late industrializing economy, Vietnam has begun to authorize some SEZs in coastal and border areas to stimulate investment and economic growth, along with other policy reforms

94. Kim & Gallent, supra note 93, at 424.
95. Schrank, supra note 54, at 226.
97. Kim & Gallent, supra note 93, at 424.
98. Id.
to lure foreign investment. In choosing sites for SEZs, the Vietnamese authorities have laid down a number of conditions, including a requirement that appropriate environmental protection measures would be secured for each zone. Vietnam initially designated three SEZs in the late 1990's: Hai Phong city, the Chu Lai area of Quang Nam Province, and the Can Gio area of Ho Chi Minh city. Some of these zones are subdivided into specific economic functions, such as Chu Lai SEZ's free trade, industrial park and export processing subzones. In 2004, authorities designated another SEZ on Vietnam's northern border, known as the Mong Cai border-gate economic zone. Vietnam's SEZs typically offer investors land-holding rights for terms of up to 70 years, land rent holidays, reduced income, trade and consumption taxes, expedited development project approvals, and the provision of an enhanced physical infrastructure. Each SEZ is supervised by an Economic Zone Management Authority, which fall under the auspices of the Ministry of Planning and Investment.

Thailand is also using the SEZ model, and has designated several SEZs along its borders with Laos and Cambodia. Thailand's Investment Promotion Act 1977 provides various tax and non-tax incentives for both local and foreign investors in areas promoted by the government. In 1993 the Thai Board of Investment (BOI) designated three new "Investment Promotion Zones", which effectively cover the entire country, providing various levels of tax concessions and an exemption of import


103. Id.


duty on machinery pursuant to the Investment Promotion Act.\textsuperscript{109} In addition to the BOI's policies, the Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand (IEAT) provides further incentives with respect to projects located in its special industrial estates. In 1972, the IEAT was established under the auspices of the Thai Ministry of Industry and administers the Industrial Estates Authority of Thailand Act 1979.\textsuperscript{110} A total of 30 industrial estates have provided the foundations for the transformation of the Thai economy.\textsuperscript{111} A variety of financial incentives and market privileges are offered to companies accepted for investment in the industrial estates.\textsuperscript{112}

The IEA has planning and land use management functions in the estates, and assists with infrastructure provision including water and energy supply.

Malaysia established its first SEZ in 1971 pursuant to the Free Trade Zone Act 1970 passed to entice export-oriented FDI.\textsuperscript{113} By 1982, its several SEZs accounted for 52 percent of its total manufactured goods exports.\textsuperscript{114} Presently, Malaysia's SEZs are regulated through the Free Zones Act 1990, which provides companies operating in the zones with reduced import and export procedural controls and customs concessions.\textsuperscript{115} The legislation designates the zones as either free commercial zones or free industrial zones. Companies located in free industrial zones may import capital goods, raw materials and components for the purpose of manufacturing products for export, without payment of import duties, sales tax or excise duties.\textsuperscript{116} Commercial, retail activities are restricted to zones specifically designated as free commercial zones. Additional financial incentives for foreign investment are provided by the Promotion of Investments Act 1986.\textsuperscript{117} Reflecting a maturation in the role of its SEZs, the Malaysian government has recently concentrated on the establish-


\textsuperscript{112} See http://www.boi.go.th/english/tid/data/IEATincentives_privileges.htm; See further UNCTAD, supra note 101, at 108-09.

\textsuperscript{113} Peter G. Warr, Malaysia's Industrial Enclaves: Benefits and Costs, 25 DEVELOPING ECON. 30, 31-32 (1987).

\textsuperscript{114} Johansson & Nilsson, supra note 53, at 2123.


\textsuperscript{116} Id.

ment of science and high technology parks to woo foreign investors.\textsuperscript{118}

Many other countries in the region have incorporated SEZs into their economic policy matrix, ranging from the Philippines, Laos to North Korea. The Philippine Special Economic Zone Act 1995\textsuperscript{119} provides for the creation of economic zones, offering tax holidays and other fiscal incentives, and superior transport and related service infrastructure, with the mission of accelerating employment generation and new investment.\textsuperscript{120} The Philippines has established some 75 ecozones to date.\textsuperscript{121} It set up the Philippine Economic Zone Authority in 1995 to coordinate the inflow of new FDI into the zones, with separate authorities for the Subic Bay and Clark free trade zones established on the sites on the former United States military bases.\textsuperscript{122} In 2001, Laos introduced legislation to provide for the establishment of SEZs to attract foreign investment and know-how.\textsuperscript{123} Indonesia also has policies for the establishment of special industrial estates and free trade zones.\textsuperscript{124} Even the reclusive and anti-capitalist North Korea state has coveted the SEZ model.\textsuperscript{125} It has established four SEZs since 1991, although they have yet to attract international investors.\textsuperscript{126} The Russian government is also planning to create some
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SEZs to revitalize the economically depressed areas of its Far East region.127

Overall, the economic achievements of East Asia's SEZs have been mixed. The Chinese SEZs have been among the most economically successful, led by Shenzhen SEZ.128 Between 1980 and 2000, China's GDP surged on average by 9.7 percent per year, and its per capita income quadrupled.129 But, more astonishing, has been the economic growth found within China's SEZs.130 One study assessed that areas benefiting from SEZ status enjoyed annual economic growth rate of 5.5 percent above the national average.131 Millions of jobs have been created in the ballooning industrial and service sectors, and rising wealth has contributed to improved living standards and rising life expectancy for many SEZ denizens.132 The SEZs established in Taiwan and South Korea were very important to their countries' economic transformations in the 1960s and 1970s, but have played a less prominent role since then. Taiwan's three main SEZs that initially were key drivers of national economic modernization in the 1960s and 1970s had, by 1981, declined to where they "accounted for no less than 7 per cent of the overall export volume of the entire inland."133 A similar fate befell Korea's main SEZ at Masan.134 Thus, concludes Professor Xie Wei, "their importance gradually faded with time as the economy as a whole started to acquire the capabilities and resources that were formerly concentrated in the SEZs".135 Even Shenzhen has suffered lately owing to its rising costs of land and labour relative to other parts of China, which is causing some local businesses to relocate elsewhere in China.136 Nonetheless, SEZs remain a key feature of many East Asian economies, and new zones continue to be
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established in many parts of the region, particularly in the Philippines, Malaysia and Vietnam.

C. THE ENVIRONMENTAL LEGACY OF INDUSTRIALIZATION

East Asia's orgy of economic growth and industrialization has wrought extensive social and environmental changes.\textsuperscript{137} It is a misnomer to see environmental issues as somehow "outside" the economy. They impose direct public health and productivity costs. Occasionally, these costs are obvious, such as during a major environmental crisis like the 1997 forest fires of Southeast Asia, which affected some 300 million people.\textsuperscript{138} But the most serious environmental problems are usually more pervasive, incremental and long-term, such as the proliferation of consumer waste and the dispersion of industrial toxic chemicals, which cumulatively degrade ecological systems and limit their capacity to sustain human development.\textsuperscript{139}

Studies sponsored by the World Bank, United Nations and other organisations offer some insights into the extent and severity of environmental problems in East Asia.\textsuperscript{140} For instance, the incidence of insecticide and lead poisoning has risen noticeably in the region.\textsuperscript{141} The capacity of rivers and lakes to assimilate pollutants is diminishing because of increased water extraction.\textsuperscript{142} A World Bank study found that in Thailand there had been a ten-fold rise in pollution intensity from 1975 to 1988.\textsuperscript{143} Air pollution plagues many cities, in particular suspended particulates, sulphur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) and nitrogen dioxide emissions.\textsuperscript{144} Heavy metal pollution, such as lead, mercury and zinc, has been found in Malaysian rivers as a result of mining and industrial discharges.\textsuperscript{145} Huge mountains of solid waste from
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China's cities are not being properly disposed of or recycled.\textsuperscript{146} By no means have environmental problems been confined to or concentrated in the industrial and urban sectors. Environmental degradation has also arisen from "industrial" farming: Asia’s Green Revolution was propelled by chemicals, mechanization and mono-crop agriculture which has both diminished the genetic diversity of food crops and thereby indirectly compromised broader biological diversity.\textsuperscript{147}

The environmental transformation of East Asia’s cities is also associated with urbanization and the emergence of mass consumption.\textsuperscript{148} Its most populous cities have reached staggering proportions — e.g., Shanghai’s 17 million and Jakarta’s 13.7 million.\textsuperscript{149} Urban growth has ranged from some 2.5 to 3.5 percent annually.\textsuperscript{150} East Asia’s mega-cities are massive consumers of resources and emitters of waste. For example, five of the ten most polluted cities in the world are in China,\textsuperscript{151} and Asia as a whole is home to 13 out of the 15 most polluted cities in the world.\textsuperscript{152} The World Bank estimates that air and water pollution has cost China about 8 percent of its GDP, or US$32 billion annually in premature deaths, restricted mobility, chronic bronchitis and other health effects.\textsuperscript{153}

Of all the mutations in East Asia’s mega-cities, the growing number of automobiles is having the most profound impact. As in Western societies, growing urban affluence is contributing to the growing popularity of private motor vehicles over Asia’s traditional means of transport — the humble bicycle.\textsuperscript{154} In South Korea, the number of automobiles rose from a paltry 40,000 in
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1965 to 10 million by 1997.\textsuperscript{155} Each year, some 300,000 motor vehicles are added to China's still relatively modest car population, amounting to an increase of some 12 to 14 percent annually since the 1970s.\textsuperscript{156}

During their early phase of industrialization, the bread and butter of the Asian dragon economies such as Taiwan were industries producing batteries, petrochemicals, leather goods, pesticides and electroplating.\textsuperscript{157} Later, these economies moved to relatively cleaner, high technology ventures and relocated some of their most polluting and labour intensive industries to poorer countries.\textsuperscript{158} Differences in economic structures are thus paralleled by differences in environmental conditions. At one end, the wealthier economies of Singapore and Taiwan have achieved levels of ambient air and water quality similar to Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) standards, and South Korea and Malaysia are not far behind.\textsuperscript{159} South Korean cities enjoy decreased concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} and total suspended particulate matter.\textsuperscript{160} Environmental conditions in Thailand and the Philippines are less satisfactory.\textsuperscript{161} But all countries are increasing their emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG).\textsuperscript{162} In the mid-1990s, Asia overtook North America and Europe as the world's largest source of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2})
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emissions,\textsuperscript{163} producing about 35 percent of the world’s CO2 in 1996, compared to 28.3 percent from Europe and 28.1 percent in North America.

Within East Asia’s SEZs, environmental conditions have attracted harsh criticism from media commentators. To illustrate, Suzanne McElligott has lambasted: “in the Special Economic Zones located in southern China, ecology takes a back seat to profit in a country struggling to develop an industry with few financial resources”.\textsuperscript{164} Similarly, Tester depicts China’s SEZs as an ecological disaster the likes of which the planet has never seen; the result of firing this modern industrial revolution with soft coal, of filling in thousands of hectares of rice paddies to make way for roads, homes and factories, of moving whole mountains in the process, of creating air, water and soil pollution problems that, by all reports, are the worst on the planet.\textsuperscript{165}

An article in \textit{The Ecologist} blasts, “China [is] creating hundreds of so-called ‘free-trade zones’ in which lax environmental enforcement is the norm. In the process, whole regions are being ecologically decimated”.\textsuperscript{166} Elsewhere, Vietnam’s SEZs have been described as in a state of “environmental crisis”, owing principally to extensive water and air pollution from their industrial enterprises.\textsuperscript{167} Similarly, serious water pollution problems have also been documented in China’s Xiamen SEZ.\textsuperscript{168}

However, other research suggests that environmental conditions in the SEZs are no worse than in other areas, and indeed may be superior owing to the greater availability of pollution control technologies from foreign investors, as well as the more systematic land use planning in the zones.\textsuperscript{169} For instance, Sivalingam found favourable environmental conditions in Malaysia’s export processing zones because they were soundly planned and
built in conformance to zoning and building regulations.\textsuperscript{170} Multinational businesses operating in these zones were portrayed as better environmental managers than small, local businesses operating outside the SEZs.\textsuperscript{171} Likewise, the Asian Development Bank's environmental survey reported that "careful spatial planning and management of industrial activity" in SEZs in the Philippines had helped minimize potential environmental effects.\textsuperscript{172}

Of additional concern are the inhumane working conditions in some SEZ factories. Asia's economic reforms have increased income inequality, widening the differences between the haves and have-nots. Industries located in these zones tend to be labour intensive. Foreign companies have been attracted by the prospect of cheap but disciplined workers. Workers uncommonly toil away in conditions resembling concentration camps to produce garments, shoes and electronic goods for Western consumers under the cheapest possible working conditions.\textsuperscript{173} Young, unemployed women from rural areas are commonly recruited to sweatshops to work for minimal wages and excruciatingly long hours.\textsuperscript{174} Health and safety standards are often ignored.\textsuperscript{175} Numerous fires and deaths have been recorded in Chinese factories as a consequence of lax enforcement of occupational safety regulations.\textsuperscript{176} Among these statistics, for example, 87 workers were killed in a fire in a Hong Kong company's toy factory in the Shenzhen zone in November 1993.\textsuperscript{177} With the exception of South Korea, trade unions are generally weak in industrializing East Asian nations, and most employees can do little to challenge their working conditions.\textsuperscript{178} However, these problems in the treatment of workers are not at all unique to Asia's capitalist SEZs, and likely occur in other parts of East Asia's economies. Moreover, labour conditions in the SEZs might even be better than in the non-SEZ sectors given that the high public profile of
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foreign investment in developing countries has perhaps caused authorities and international businesses to be more sensitive than domestic enterprises to working conditions.\textsuperscript{179}

III. ENVIRONMENTAL LAW IN EAST ASIA — THE PATH TO LEGAL MODERNIZATION

A. LEGAL INSTITUTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE

Foundations for the rule of law in East Asia

A plethora of environmental laws, administrative institutions and policies governing East Asia's SEZs and other economic sectors mask a variety of structural weaknesses in the capacity of their legal systems to promote sustainable development. While nearly all Asian states have produced a substantial volume of environmental legislation, they have differed "in their ability to build more effective public sector environmental agencies that ha[ve] the legal authority and the tools to effectively monitor and enforce emission and ambient standards."\textsuperscript{180} Professor Jaro Mayda observes that new environmental legislation is not so valuable unless "accompanied by a substantial increase in each nation's capability for policy development, institutional structures, administrative competence, and ability to train management, monitoring and enforcement personnel."\textsuperscript{181} Unfortunately, these elements are not always present. According to the Asian Development Bank’s Asian Environment Outlook report, "the root cause of the poor state of the environment in the region was a failure of policy and of institutions."\textsuperscript{182} Weak policies and institutions usually reflect deeper structural problems of governance.

Environmental law regimes are unlikely to succeed unless anchored to wider reforms to promote good governance and rule of law.\textsuperscript{183} Law acquires its meaning and performs its social functions through processes of implementation and enforcement, without which it has little life in any given society. Heavy reliance on centralized, bureaucratic decision-making that excludes civil
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society, incompetent and corrupt administrative officials and judges, and limitations on access to justice, all undermine, to various degrees, the ability of East Asian governments to implement environmental policies and laws to address the effects of rapid industrialization. While some countries have made substantial progress in addressing these encumbrances, there has been a tendency for some states to naively import foreign precedents incompatible with local institutional and social conditions.  

The laws and institutions extant in East Asia have eclectic roots, encompassing colonial, indigenous and international sources. The majority of legal systems (e.g., China and South Korea) derive from European civil law regimes. While some countries escaped direct colonial rule, most—such as the Philippines, Malaysia and Vietnam—did not.

Whatever the consequences of colonialism and later abuses of state power, some measure of rule of law would seem to be a necessary precondition to effective environmental law. The notion of rule of law is a contested concept with various definitions and visions. Professor Joseph Raz believed that at a minimum law must be able to guide behaviour. Professor Randall Peerenboom has suggested “law [must be] able to impose meaningful restraints on the state...” Concepts of the rule of law have been criticised as narrowly reflecting a Western, liberal democratic tradition that is not necessarily germane to the circumstances of East Asia or other developing country regions.

Critical legal scholars, critical race theorists, and feminists have shown that the seeming neutrality of the rule of law can reinforce existing power relations at the expense of vulnerable groups in society. Critical legal scholars have also demonstrated the indeterminacy of law, associated with the rise of the regulatory state and the enhanced reliance on discretionary administrative
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powers to implement broad legislative policies.\textsuperscript{190} The failure of the "law and development" movement of the 1960s and 1970s — a program for legal technical assistance and reform, as part of Western development aid programs — shattered the na"ive belief that if laws are reformed and legal institutions strengthened, nothing can restrain the "rule of law's" triumph.\textsuperscript{191} The law and development movement has re-emerged in a new guise in recent decades, through the "New Public Management" and "Good Governance" prescriptions recited by the international development assistance community.\textsuperscript{192} Rule of law reforms can wrongly presuppose that certain societies do not have a "rule of law" and are characterized by anarchy and disorder and must therefore be restrucnted. But a society whose members are guided by, and comply with, traditional norms of behaviour rather than a state-supported edifice of codes and rules is clearly not one devoid of law. Successful law reform in transitional countries at a minimum requires establishing appropriate incentives and organizations after taking account of the local culture and the past constraints that shaped the existing legal system.\textsuperscript{193}

The pressures for legal reform in East Asia are growing as its members open their economies to global market forces and address the environmental problems of industrialization. Traditional rules, norms, and standards alone may not be conducive to addressing the policy challenges. Legal institutions languished somewhat during the earlier period of the developmental state. Administrative discretion was at the core of the developmental state model, where pursuant to broad delegations of authority from the legislature, ruling elites were able to maintain policy flexibility and achieve their economic goals with minimal legal formalities.\textsuperscript{194} The development of modern economies has ushered in the need to replace closed political and bureaucratic dealings with "rational" legal instruments. This entails improved legislative drafting, coordination and dissemination, restraints on the discretionary powers of bureaucrats, more independent and competent judiciary, and effective enforcement mechanisms. To be sustainable, however, legal reforms have to find sustenance in the markets and civil societies that they purport to govern. Pro-
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Professor Yan Wang warns that "law becomes effective by social forces and pressures interested in and working for its implementation. Without a properly institutional setting, the law will remain a fig-leaf, pretending action without changing social reality."\(^{195}\)

It is possible to conceive of rule of law reforms without necessarily sacrificing local culture and institutions. Professor Randall Peerenboom argues that by differentiating between a "thin" and "thick" conception of the rule of law, the core, universally valid elements of an effective legal system can be isolated without necessarily importing certain discourses about political morality.\(^{196}\) A thin conception of rule of law that embodies the minimal characteristics necessary for the functioning of a viable legal system includes the existence of courts to interpret laws, laws made public, laws generally applicable, laws that are clear, consistent and stable, and laws that are enforced and accepted by most people.\(^{197}\) Any legal system that is effective must have these elements. These minimal requirements of rule of law are surely compatible with a variety of institutions, rules and practices in any given society. A thick conception of rule of law adds further elements of political morality, such as particular economic arrangements (free-market capitalism, administrative planning), forms of governance (liberal democratic, socialist) or conceptions of human rights (collectivist, libertarian). Through this distinction, each country's culture, traditions and economic aspirations can be accommodated while respecting the need for certain core elements of any legal system. Thus, law reform guided by "Asian values" or other discourses can be conceived without importing inappropriate political and legal philosophies.\(^{198}\)

In conclusion, while East Asia's legal systems will undoubtedly continue to exert their own distinctive characteristics and goals, they almost certainly must meet some universal standards if they are to play the role intended by environmental policy in the context of an internationally open economy. One does not have to accept the assumptions of liberal legalism to agree that a legal system must provide a modicum of transparency, predictability and fairness. Ultimately, if law is to guide behaviour, its
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rules must be reasonably clear and stable, prospective and implemented. Laws should limit arbitrary acts of government and provide meaningful constraints on the governing elite. This implies normative limits on administrators and others who interpret and apply the law. Without these fundamental characteristics, East Asia's environmental law will likely be ineffective and not institutionally sustainable.

**Implementing Legal Reform in East Asia**

Obstacles to legal modernization are particularly acute in China, which is undergoing profound social and economic upheaval as it moves away from a socialist economic doctrine. The importance of social networks (guanxi), a history of privileging substantive over procedural justice, and a preference for avoiding formal law in favour of informal dispute resolution, have posed barriers to the implementation of a law-based order more suited to an open market economy. Historically and culturally, the Chinese have tended to guide social behavior though moral standards and local custom rather than formal legislation. In the post-war era, the addition of socialist legal theory has presented law as a management tool to preserve the state's interest. Whereas Western legal systems stress the virtues of generality, equality and impartiality, Chinese cultural traditions, whether Confucian or Maoist, "have rejected rule ethics and universal principles in favour of a context-specific, pragmatic, situational ethics." Consequently, their legal system often cedes wide discretionary power to administrators to implement the law and to make it workable in specific situations. This does not mean that the law is simply shunted aside, but that legal rules are adapted to meet specific needs and situations.

Since the early 1980s, rule of law has re-entered public discourse in China, with an emphasis on legislation and institution building. The PRC leaders have accepted the need for legal reform as a means to enable China's economic transformation.
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The rise of a complex urban-industrial society and the current market reforms has spawned new demands for legal reform. An economy increasingly open to foreign investors and international trade has required a more open, transparent and stable legal system. Authorities' initial attempts to restrict reform to the commercial law context did not prevent the momentum of legal reform from spilling into other policy fields including environmental regulation.\textsuperscript{204} As a result there has been an enormous outpouring of legislation in the economic and environmental fields, especially in relation to the management of the SEZs.\textsuperscript{205}

An enduring problem is that PRC leaders have tended to take a mechanistic view of law, assuming they can "utilize the language and forms of law initially crafted in a liberal democratic context" absent from China.\textsuperscript{206} Their failure to establish a legal system that is both sensitive to indigenous institutions yet sufficiently autonomous to engineer social change has posed a range of problems for environmental governance (and other areas of public policy). These problems include: inconsistencies between lower and higher level legislation; conflicts of interest in public administration; bureaucratic inefficiencies and policy distortions from the proliferation of extralegal powers and vague discretionary authority; and the limiting of outlets for citizen participation in decision-making and enforcement. Unwritten variables in the implementation of Chinese environmental law — such as the impact of guanxi connections — can make it hard for foreign companies in the SEZs to understand what regulators actually expect of them.\textsuperscript{207}

Ultimately, the key to the realization of rule of law in China is power. How is power to be controlled and allocated in a single-party socialist state and in a culture with a habitual "reliance on the instructions of authority figures over the texts of laws"?\textsuperscript{208} Meixian Li sees "the biggest challenge China faces in developing an independent and effective legal system as the ambiguous relationship between China’s constitutional supremacy and the Com-

\textsuperscript{204} Peerenboom, \textit{supra} note 187, at 39-40.


It is now acknowledged that the Party and the State must act within the limits of the law. Reforms are being introduced to address corruption and incompetence in the government and to improve citizens' access to justice. But efforts to separate the functions of Party and State have not adequately addressed the CCP's indirect intervention in the economy through its control of state-owned enterprises and through continued Party appointment of key personnel in all government organs. Law-making, of course, is not simply a rubber-stamp of Party leadership edicts. The CCP is often split over policy issues, and the legislative system can "serve as a significant adjunct arena for leadership political debate." The machinery of government also has its own interests and agenda, and policy-making can involve extensive bargaining among bureaucratic entities and CCP officials. Consequently, the Chinese legal system can represent a system of "fragmented authoritarianism" in which "authority below the very peak of the Chinese political system is fragmented and disjointed." In this regime, law can serve to indicate a general policy direction and provide symbolic significance to political initiatives. Ultimately, a strengthening of the rule of law in China seems inevitable given that the CCP's legitimacy increasingly rests on economic growth rather than political ideology, and that growth is doubtful without legal reform.

While cultural traditions and political ideologies have made it difficult to establish a law-based order in China, such factors have also existed in South Korea, Singapore and Taiwan for instance, all of which have managed to establish fairly robust legal regimes. And once institutions of reform are established, they can develop a momentum of their own, "if for no other reason than path-dependent institutional self-interest." During the 1990s, Taiwan and Korea embraced a Western-style liberal-dem-
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ocratic version of rule of law, including constitutional and parliamentary reforms and the promotion of more effective administrative and judicial structures.\textsuperscript{215} The process began in Taiwan after the lifting of martial law in 1987.\textsuperscript{216} In South Korea, the Constitutional Court has emerged as a key force for the ascendency of rule of law.\textsuperscript{217} However, South Korean business regulation is still hampered by the chaebol-based economy.\textsuperscript{218} South Korea was particularly hurt by the region’s financial crisis of 1997-98 owing to insufficient state supervision of market actors who had enjoyed greater autonomy in the wake of the market liberalization reforms.\textsuperscript{219} By contrast, Singapore was virtually alone in emerging relatively unscathed from the East Asian financial turmoil because it had a reliable, robust legal system that provided better checks and balances against such market abuses.\textsuperscript{220}

South Korea and Taiwan’s democratisation reforms have fueled various environmental activist movements, which in turn have contributed to the strengthening of environmental laws.\textsuperscript{221} As a result of environmental degradation from South Korea’s “poisoned prosperity,” some people began reassessing their leaders’ “faster and faster” motto.\textsuperscript{222} South Korean environmental groups, taking advantage of a more liberalized mass media and freedoms to organize political activities, managed to thwart or delay several major development behemoths, such as the Youngwol Dam and the Wichon Industrial complex.\textsuperscript{223} Environmentalists have also campaigned against the nuclear power in-
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By the early 1990s the Korean government accepted the need for concessions, and began promulgating tougher pollution control laws. Taiwan has also experienced popular protests against pollution, particularly nuclear energy technologies. Numerous environmental protectionist reforms that have occurred since the mid-1990s in Taiwan can be correlated with the growing pluralization of Taiwanese political culture. The political clout of the environmental and consumer movements peaked in 2000 when newly elected president, Chen Shui-bian, announced the goal of creating a “green silicon island.”

By contrast, Malaysia and Indonesia are soft-authoritarian regimes where opposition parties and the media have been muzzled through security laws and other mechanisms that reinforce a non-liberal conception of democracy. Notwithstanding this fact, Malaysia enjoys a relatively well-developed legal system, which remains a product of British colonialism. Political independence led to attempts to inculcate the legal system with local values, and former Prime Minister Mahathir sought to distance Malaysia from the liberal model by infusing so-called Asian values into the legal system. Law is used in Malaysia to strengthen the state, to ensure stability and to facilitate economic growth. In Indonesia, although authoritarianism and military interference in government have been enduring problems, and have engendered destructive crony capitalism, law reform efforts have continued over many decades, most recently through the Reformasi movement. Environmental governance in these two Southeast nations remains weak as there are few formal legal
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mechanisms by which government-developer alliances can be challenged by citizens.

Thailand has also been confronting fundamental constitutional issues such as the relationship of democracy to rule of law, balance of power issues between the different arms of the state, and protection of human rights. But it has been less successful in addressing basic rule of law problems, and economic and environmental reform is undermined by elementary legal problems including access to justice, inefficient courts and administrative corruption. Vitat Muntarbhorn summarises that the Thai legal system is plagued by the five Cs: corruption, collusion, cronyism, clientism and crime. Thai governments have been unstable owing to shifting parliamentary coalitions and excessive “money politics,” as well as interference from the military. In this milieu, environmental issues have been promoted in Thailand mainly through a corporatist movement geared towards corporate social responsibility and the promotion of new markets for environmental goods and technologies, rather than through broader social movements as in Taiwan and Korea. Clearly then, a lack of democracy imposes some limits to the extent to which rule of law will be realised. Public participation in policy-making, greater transparency of decision-making, and access to justice can improve the quality of governance and enhance its legitimacy. Democracy can provide a procedural mechanism for regulating societal conflicts, allow for more open debate, thus leading to a more informed decision-making process, and provide a more accurate feedback mechanism to policy-makers. The corporatism and clientelism that have marked state-society relations in East Asia during the post-war period are increasingly being challenged by democratic and civil societal movements. Democratisation has engendered new institutional arrangements: competitive electoral systems, local autonomy, liberalization of the mass media, and freedom of civic association. These institu-
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tions have empowered civil society, helped to facilitate the articulation of a more diffuse set of social interests in environmental policy-making, and created the room for greater criticism of unidimensional policies of uncompromising industrialization. Democratic change is interwoven with changes in a society's living standards. Kuznets' theory predicts a correlation between a society's rising concern for the environment and its standard of living. This is because pollution damage receives a higher priority after rising wealth has financed basic investments in health and education, and because wealthier societies have more resources to devote to environmental protection. Democracy is arguably a necessary parallel development, because it allows for the articulation of public concerns and social pressures for policy reform. New societal pressure as a result of democratisation is gradually compelling some governments in East Asia to respond to environmental problems, and thereby establishing a rule of environmental law.

Even in countries where democracy is not yet politically viable, such as the one-party states of China and Vietnam, a meaningful rule of law can be achieved. It may seem futile to imagine rule of law when the ruling party has been above the law, but Professor Randall Peerenboom points out that CCP leaders have increasingly appreciated the advantages of rule of law for economic development, national stability and Party legitimacy.

For example, popular discontent over judicial corruption, bias, and incompetence is deterring investors, undermining the effectiveness of the legal system, and ultimately hurting the political legitimacy of the Party. Even without competitive elections, administrative law reforms have empowered society by giving citizens the right to challenge state actors through litigation, mediation and other channels. Government environmental agencies periodically issue public bulletins regarding their affairs, and give citizens the right to file accusations against anyone alleged to
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have breached environmental laws.\textsuperscript{243} More reforms are needed of course, as China’s court system generally remains poorly equipped to dispense environmental justice. Its judges are mainly unqualified to evaluate the complex technical information on which pollution cases typically hinge, and they are reluctant to sanction enterprises favoured by local authorities, given their dependence on their local municipality for largesse.\textsuperscript{244}

In summary, reforms to transform East Asian legal systems from institutions based on bureaucratic discretion to those subscribing to universal legislative norms remain vulnerable to indigenous cultural preferences for non-legal methods of dispute resolution, lack of familiarity with regulations that are based on external norms, and political systems that place the governing elites or party above legal norms. These problems are particularly pronounced in China and Vietnam, but are becoming less evident in South Korea and Taiwan, which have established more democratic systems of governance. Law reformers need to understand the interaction between imported legal norms and local institutional precepts and organizational processes. To some extent, East Asian legal systems are giving rise to a bifurcated legal system, whereby legal reforms are almost solely relegated to special economic zones and areas with foreign investment activity, so that the spread of potentially destabilizing reforms is curbed.

B. TRENDS IN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND POLICY IN EAST ASIA

Environmental Legislative Developments

Throughout East Asia, environmental law and policy has ostensibly begun to reach maturity, manifested by extensive legislative initiatives, the founding of environmental management agencies, judicial activism and the environmental jurisprudence created thereon.\textsuperscript{245} Governments are modernizing their environmental management regimes to give effect to principles of sustainable development.\textsuperscript{246} East Asian environmental policy-makers faced
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with the daunting task of devising and implementing effective regulatory regimes for their industrializing economies, "have at least one advantage over their historical counterparts in the West — they have several decades of environmental regulatory history to learn from." So far, the most advanced reforms have been pioneered in Singapore and Taiwan, while Thailand, Indonesia and Vietnam have among the least developed environmental law regimes. Between these poles are China, Malaysia, and South Korea.

Virtually all of East Asia has looked to the developed world for ideas and precedents. Yet, many of the foreign environmental law innovations such as economic instruments and integrated pollution control systems, have hardly been touched. Because of their institutional and legal weaknesses, many states have envisioned an overly-simple solution of centralizing environmental administration, so as to bring coherence and coordination to policy-making. Legislation often takes the form of command-and-control regulation with wide discretionary powers to state administrators. The danger of this approach is that it can create inappropriate scales for effecting environmental management and an institutional vacuum at local levels of governance, particularly in the SEZs. This institutional centripetalism is reflected in governments’ preferences for national environmental strategies, national environmental agencies and other policy centralizing mechanisms.

The mainstay of environmental law in East Asia is the umbrella national environmental statute, with complementary statutes addressing specific environmental media such as water, air, soil and biodiversity. Examples include: China’s Environmental Protection Law of 1979, Malaysia’s Environmental Quality Act of 1974, Thailand’s Enhancement and Conservation of National Environmental Quality Act of 1992, Indonesia’s Law

Concerning Environmental Management of 1997, Taiwan’s Basic Environmental Act of 2002, and Vietnam’s Law on Protection of the Environment of 1993. In addition, some states are adding environmental protection clauses to their national constitutions as an expression of their commitment. To illustrate, the Indonesian constitution was amended in the early 1970s to introduce a provision on care for the environment. The constitution of South Korea was amended in 1980 to provide its citizens with the right to live in a healthy and clean environment. China’s constitution was modified in 1978 to incorporate an environmental protection clause. Nonetheless, constitutional provisions often lack the legal significance found in Western examples. For example, the Supreme Court of Korea has construed the environmental protection provision of its constitution as not self-executing.

Taiwan’s environmental laws arose during the early 1970s, and it now has an estimated 300 environmental laws and regulations. Taiwan’s regulatory approach has evolved in a pattern common to Western nations — beginning with the direct regulation of end-of-pipe pollution, and gradually moving towards more complex regulation strategies characterized by an increasing dependence on cooperative relationships among industry,
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government, and third parties.262 The Taiwanese parliament enacted the Fundamental Environmental Protection Act in 2002,263 which builds on other capstone environmental laws including the Water Pollution Control Law of 1991 and Air Pollution Control Act of 1992 (both revisions of earlier pollution control statutes dating from the 1970s),264 and the Environmental Impact Assessment Act of 1994.265 In principle, the latter law gives the National Environmental Protection Agency (established in 1987) authority to veto major projects, an authority the Agency has attempted to exercise against industrial complexes proposed for location in environmentally sensitive areas.266

South Korea has a reasonably well-developed system of environmental law. Though its legal system draws on the civil law traditions of Germany, most of its environmental statutes are modelled on Anglo-American precedents.267 The Basic Environmental Policy Act of 1990 is patterned after the United States’ National Environmental Policy Act. South Korea’s first generation pollution laws in the mid-1960s were ineffectual in stemming the massive pollution that accompanied the country’s heavy industrialization over the ensuing decades.268 In the late 1970s, a new generation of environmental laws arrived, including the seminal Environmental Conservation Act of 1977, which introduced an environmental impact assessment (EIA) procedure.269 A number of specialist pollution control laws were introduced, notably the Air Environment Preservation Act,270 Water Environment Preservation Act,271 and the Waste Management Act.272 Substantial efforts were made to improve pollution control in Seoul in preparation for its hosting of the Olympics in 1988.273 During the 1990s the specialization of environmental laws continued, such as the new Environmental Impact Assessment Act.274 Today, South Korea possesses a basket of environmental laws com-
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parable to many Western nations, and it is beginning to tackle more complex challenges such as greenhouse gas emissions. Environmental law reform in China has not matched efforts in South Korea or Taiwan, but has nonetheless been quite extensive. Ostensibly, the PRC boasts a laudable array of environmental laws and institutions — comparable to that in many Western countries. The legal transformation has been quite remarkable. By contrast, Mao Tse-tung's reign was described as a "war against nature." Mao's grandiose aspirations led to ecologically destructive behemoths such as the Sanmenxia dam on the Yellow River, as well as environmentally detrimental development practices during the chaotic Great Leap Forward and the Cultural Revolution. While the gigantic Three Gorges dam reveals that the "Think Big" mentality persists among the contemporary PRC leadership, in other contexts, environmental policies are being acknowledged as central, rather than ancillary, concerns. A raft of new environmental laws have been promulgated or revised, notably the Environmental Impact Assessment Law of 2002 and the amendments to the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Law of 2000. For example, environmental standards have been incorporated into the national constitution, and there are at least 20 major national environmental laws, complemented by hundreds, if not thousands, of provincial and mu-
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municipal level environmental regulations.\textsuperscript{285} China's peak law, the Environmental Protection Law of 1989 (replacing the interim Environmental Protection Law of 1979), provides a general template for pollution control, environmental impact assessment and other core regulatory functions.\textsuperscript{286}

A national environmental agency was first set up in 1975, and is presently known as the State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA). It shares peak authority with environmental units in the State Council and the National People's Congress (NPC). Under the Chinese legal system, central government environmental laws are implemented by local agencies, principally the environmental protection bureaux (EPBs)\textsuperscript{287} that function as part of the national administrative system. Local agencies have some discretion to adapt national laws to local conditions, although their environmental standards cannot be less stringent than national ones. Administrative cooperation is frequently hampered by byzantine administrative arrangements involving multiple, overlapping agency responsibilities. A specific environmental issue may be regulated by several entities — for example, eight different ministries and commissions at the national level are involved in implementing China's obligations under the Montreal Protocol.\textsuperscript{288}

Environmental laws in the nations of Southeast Asia vary in extent, type, and quality. Though the Philippines lack a national environmental law framework, it has achieved some ad hoc successes, such as the new Clean Air Act of 1999, Ecological Solid Waste Management Act of 2000, and the Clean Water Act of 2004.\textsuperscript{289} Thailand's primary environmental law is the Enhancement and Conservation of National Environmental Quality Act of 1992 (NEQ Act),\textsuperscript{290} which is overseen by the Pollution Control Department of the Ministry of Science, Technology, and Environment.\textsuperscript{291} The NEQ Act provides for a National Environment Board to undertake strategic policy-making, with responsibilities
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for the preparation of environmental policies and plans, the issuance of environmental quality standards, and supervision of EIAs. Similar legislative and administrative patterns exist in Indonesia and Malaysia under their peak environmental statutes. Vietnam is at a different stage of legal reform; it has a parsimonious collection of environmental laws and, prior to 1980, had virtually none.

This extensive body of environmental law in East Asia is somewhat deceiving, for much of it is of poor quality. Legislation is often poorly drafted, framed in very general and exhortational terms, with undefined terminology, legislative gaps, and inconsistent provisions. Sometimes environmental legislation seems more akin to policy statements than law. For example, China’s Environmental Protection Law 1989 vaguely proclaims that local governments are “responsible for the quality of the environment within their own administrative regions.”

Vague provisions can pose problems in the context of legislative sanctions. For example, the 1990 Measures on Administration of the Waigaoqiao Free Trade Zone of Shanghai dictate that “any projects which may cause environmental pollution shall be prohibited to be carried out in the Free Trade Zone.” The absence of a definition of “environmental pollution”, and the potentially all-encompassing scope of this provision, make this regulation rather problematic. Similar drafting problems affect Vietnam’s Law on Environmental Protection, which the government has attempted to overcome by enacting a supplementary measure, entitled the Decree on Providing Guidance for the Implementation of the Law on Environmental Protection. Similarly, Thailand’s environmental laws have been criticised for their brevity, indicating only generic principles that leave enormous discretionary power to administrative officials. These legislative characteristics can severely weaken the rule of environmental law.

---

292. Id. at §§ 13(1)-(14), 32-34.
293. Supra notes 251, 253.
297. Id. at art. 11.
299. Tookey, supra note 234, at 331.
New or better environmental laws are not enough to protect East Asia’s environment. Governments must also have an administrative system with the capacity and the motivation to implement and enforce such laws. Legal reform must extend to an effective administrative process, incorporating public participation, access to information, and judicial review. Unfortunately, there has been a tendency of governments to “relegate the consideration of legal and institutional arrangements to artificially isolated chambers, destined for sequential consideration once the necessary policy document has been developed.” Not uncommonly, environmental legislation has been a dead letter due to too few skilled personnel, insufficient administrative and technical support, and a paucity of financial resources. There is also a tendency to ignore the potential contributions of the non-government organizations (NGOs), local community groups or business associations as a means of environmental governance.

Most East Asian states have national environmental management agencies, which operate in conjunction with line ministries dealing with water, minerals, and other natural resources. Often there are also regional or provincial level environmental administrations, and environmental units within municipal governments. Little progress has been achieved in promoting cross-sectoral administrative cooperation between environmental authorities and other arms of government such as economic and financial ministries. For example, Indonesia’s State Ministry of Population and Environment (SMPE), has been embroiled in a number of disputes with other ministries over development proposals. Some central government ministries have resisted the demands of SMPE for their approval of a required EIA report before undertaking a major development project.

Certainly, since the 1970s, virtually all countries in the region have established central environmental administrations, often at the ministerial level with a seat in cabinet. South Korea established its Environmental Administration in 1979, which was
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upgraded to a Ministry of Environment in 1990.\textsuperscript{306} Taiwan set up a central Environmental Protection Administration in 1987, which was later raised to a full Ministry.\textsuperscript{307} At the regional level, the Ministry oversees the Taipei and Kaohsiung departments of environmental protection. The Philippines has a Department of Environment and Natural Resources.\textsuperscript{308} In Vietnam, the Law on Protection of the Environment is administered by the Ministry of Science, Technology, and the Environment. The Ministry’s functions include: preparing all environmental protection legislation plans and policies; monitoring of national environmental conditions; appraising EIAs of project proposals; formulating environmental standards; and pollution licensing.\textsuperscript{309}

Enforcement is the weakest link in East Asia’s environmental law regimes. In 1994, Lester Ross wryly commented, “for many years, China’s environmental authorities have been like a muzzled dog: plenty of bark but little bite.”\textsuperscript{310} The same could be said for many of China’s neighbours. China’s criminal law is not short of offences that harm public health and the environment.\textsuperscript{311} But efforts to achieve a semblance of rule of law have yet to fully overcome corruption and reliance on personal connections and backdoor negotiations.\textsuperscript{312} Occasionally, major administrative enforcement crackdowns are launched with considerable fanfare.\textsuperscript{313} But sustained enforcement seems unlikely given the guanxi relationships that flourish between regulators and industry.\textsuperscript{314} Professors Peter Hills and C.S. Man suggest that because of “a cultural predisposition to harmony and consensus-building, heavy-handed imposition of environmental regulations is typically avoided, unless an edict is delivered from the upper eche-
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The same patterns can be seen in Thailand: Professor Douglas Tookey reports that "to avoid creating problems with each other, neighbours do not report environmental violations" and corrupt officials prefer to be "nice to family and friends" rather than generate animosity.\(^\text{316}\)

So far, the courts in East Asia have been used mostly as a last resort; policies and regulations serve as guidance, and disputes are settled largely through negotiation and bargaining outside the court system.\(^\text{317}\) A few significant public interest environmental cases have been litigated in recent years in the region, notably in Malaysia and the Philippines.\(^\text{318}\) In Taiwan, there have been some government-initiated lawsuits against polluters, but citizen suits are uncommon.\(^\text{319}\) Others states such as Vietnam and Indonesia lack an effective and independent judiciary willing and able to entertain such cases.\(^\text{320}\) China's Administrative Litigation Law of 1990 provides that any environment-related administrative body that fails to carry out its duties (e.g., by failing to impose a fine for pollution) can be challenged in the People's Courts.\(^\text{321}\) However, a People's Court has no authority to review a general decision made by the State Council, its ministries, or any local government body which does not immediately bear on a specific enterprise or individual (e.g., a decision to build a nuclear power station).\(^\text{322}\) While there is some evidence that formal dispute resolution mechanisms are being used more frequently in China to deal with environmental problems and complaints,\(^\text{323}\) mediation remains favoured by most parties for addressing local pollution problems.\(^\text{324}\)

Besides legislation and administrative support, an effective system of environmental governance requires policies for sus-
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tainable development. Policies can provide strategic directions for regulators and help ensure discretionary legislative authority is put to appropriate ends. All industrializing East Asian nations have adopted national environmental policies and plans, though their regulatory impact has been highly variable. Many were prepared with assistance from international donors and advisors such as the World Bank and the World Conservation Union (IUCN).325 However, most of these policy statements seem to be of little regulatory significance. At best, they serve to indicate long-term political commitment and, at worst, are mere public relations exercises.

IV. ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND THE SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES

A. INFLUX OF FOREIGN INVESTMENT AND ITS ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

At the heart of the economic transformation of East Asia's SEZs is foreign investment. One might expect the interaction of market deregulation, rapid industrialization and foreign investment in the SEZs to be an incendiary combination from an environmental perspective. Many transnational companies (TNCs) have set up production facilities and entered into joint ventures with local firms in East Asia. They have brought capital, as well as new technologies, business systems and values, and management behaviour to East Asia.326 Private finance now dominates the development ledger in East Asia, and it has helped to compensate for declines in bilateral and multilateral intergovernmental aid.327 As a result of economic globalization, "almost every government in the world, including communist regimes . . . attempts to entice foreign capital."328 In the 1990s, four of the five top recipients for FDI in the world were in East Asia — namely, China, Malaysia, Indonesia and Thailand.329 Private capital is moving not solely from the West to developing countries, but is also increasingly circulating between emerging economies. South

329. French, supra note 327, at 15.
Korea and Taiwanese firms are among the most active investors in China’s SEZs.\textsuperscript{330}

The presence of foreign investors poses special challenges to environmental regulators. This challenge is amplified when TNCs acquire and manage major domestic enterprises in strategic sectors of the economy. The privatization of public assets (often at discount prices) has enhanced foreign ownership, especially in highly indebted countries such as Thailand and the Philippines.\textsuperscript{331} As a consequence of the infamous Bhopal tragedy in 1984 when a leak from a Union Carbide subsidiary’s plant in India caused the deaths of thousands of workers and local inhabitants, TNCs became more scrutinized by regulators and public interest groups.\textsuperscript{332} Environmental issues have become increasingly included in the TNC agenda, through technological solutions, subscription to relevant corporate environmental systems, and codes of conduct.\textsuperscript{333} The Business Council of Sustainable Development and the International Chamber of Commerce are working to promote more environmentally responsible TNC conduct.\textsuperscript{334} Some TNCs see advantages from taking the environment more seriously, including access to green consumer markets, material savings from greater energy efficiencies, as well as helping to pre-empt unwanted external environmental regulation.\textsuperscript{335} But many foreign investors no doubt do not see beyond financial imperatives and callously disregard their environmental impacts.\textsuperscript{336}

The environmental effects of FDI activity can be divided into mainly scale effects (expansion of economic output), structural effects (reallocation of production and consumption), and technological effects (technological innovation and diffusion).\textsuperscript{337} In general, the former two effects tend to be negative, while the technological and structural effects are anticipated to be posi-
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The entry of foreign capital has raised living standards for some host communities, but FDI is "often an accomplice to environmental degradation on a massive scale," and is associated with "dangerous working conditions, child labour and the violent repression of dissent." Increased FDI is viewed by some commentators as exacerbating the inadequacies of local environmental regulation by increasing the scale of production and consumption. Even if increased FDI leads to local environmental improvements through the infusion of cleaner technologies, these benefits may be neutralized on an international scale if production of pollution-intensive products is simply shifted to other countries. Thus, the environmental impacts are displaced rather than resolved. Furthermore, while international trade in environmental technologies and products may ultimately benefit the environment, trade liberalization can also make some environmentally-harmful goods more accessible to new markets. The WTO obligations may even compel countries to allow the import of previously banned environmentally dangerous products.

Other sanguine perspectives defend TNC investment and international trade for their supposed promotion of global prosperity. This prosperity involves improved living standards and economic growth in developing countries, and the facilitation of democracy and greater respect for human rights through the reduction of poverty and political unrest. Professors Shinichi Ichimura and James Morley argue that countries in East Asia that have experienced the strongest economic growth have been more successful in establishing parliamentary democratic systems (e.g., South Korea and Taiwan), while countries that have experienced lower economic growth have tended to suffer from a more autocratic type of governance (e.g., Indonesia and Thailand).

Western enterprises are also applauded for having brought a range of corporate social responsibility norms to East Asia. A broad spectrum of ethical issues has recently begun to take hold, which include fighting corruption, poverty alleviation, workers'
rights and safety, public health, and environmental protection. The flourishing corporate social responsibility (CSR) movement has already arisen among some companies predominantly in developed nations. The CSR practices have been articulated through the drafting of corporate environmental management systems, such as the International Standard Organization (ISO) 14000 series and the European Union’s Eco-Management and Audit Scheme. These mechanisms for promoting CSR can be influential for businesses wishing to trade in OECD markets where there is a growing green consumer movement. Corporate social responsibility practices can be reinforced through “green supply chain” requirements that multinational companies dictate to their Asian suppliers. East Asian governments are reinforcing these market-based CSR pressures by linking them to their traditional environmental regulation. China’s then National Environmental Protection Agency (NEPA) in 1996 founded an environmental auditing and certification centre to facilitate ISO 14000 certification among local enterprises. The ISO certification of Chinese enterprises has arisen mostly in the context of joint ventures with Western companies operating in the SEZs. The ISO certification has also been awarded to some SEZ administrative authorities for their environmental management achievements.

Compared to local enterprises, TNCs’ environmental performance is often said to be superior. In China, the state-owned enterprises appear to shoulder responsibility for most of the
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country's industrial pollution.\textsuperscript{355} In Taiwan, the small business sector has been identified as a source of pervasive, hard to regulate, pollution.\textsuperscript{356} In Singapore, foreign enterprises appear to be generally much more aware and active than local businesses in promoting corporate environmentally responsible practices.\textsuperscript{357} A study of green supply chains in East Asia found that they were mainly sponsored by leading international companies rather than local enterprises.\textsuperscript{358} Foreign investment can thus help overcome unsustainable development, which is seen as a result of underlying inadequacies in environmental controls on economic activities.\textsuperscript{359} It is also theorized that once a country begins to industrialize, economic liberalization and foreign investment help to eventually render its economic structure less pollution-intensive than those countries whose economies remain closed.\textsuperscript{360}

B. ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY COMPETITION FOR INVESTMENT

Crucial to understanding the environmental impact of TNCs is the effect of inter-jurisdictional competition for economic investment. Some theories predict that competition between countries for economic investment leads to a "race to the bottom," "regulatory chill," or a "pollution haven." Investment competition is assumed to affect regulatory behavior (both standards and enforcement) in such areas as environmental and labour policy.\textsuperscript{361} The gist of the argument is that stringent environmental standards in industrial countries push businesses to relocate operations to developing countries, where standards are relatively weaker, which results in monetary savings. Concomitantly, gov-
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ernments in developing countries intentionally undervalue environmental damage, so as to attract more FDI — a process that generates a "race to the bottom" as countries compete to lower environmental regulation to attract FDI. Even if there is no race, competitive concerns may still affect environmental decision-making in host countries by causing a "regulatory chill." This position arises where states refrain from stricter environmental controls for fear of alienating potential foreign investors. Consequently, environmental regulations can get "stuck in the mud."

This race to the bottom is said by some commentators to be particularly pronounced in the SEZs. Among the more passionate rhetoric includes John Madeley, from People and Planet, who charges, "under globalisation, the makers of manufactured goods locate their factories in countries where wages are low; they compete fiercely with each other in what has been called 'a race to the bottom.' In so called 'free trade zones,' millions of people work for long hours and low pay to make some of the world's most expensive consumer products - such as clothing, toys, shoes, and electronic equipment. Environmental standards in free trade zones are often low and even non-existent." Similarly, writing for The Ecologist, Goldsmith claims, "wherever free-trade zones have been established, there has been environmental devastation on a literally horrific scale."

The environmental aspects of FDI have been examined in some detail and various empirical studies have explored whether there is any corroboration of the theoretical hypotheses. Current research reveals a broad diversity in approaches used to either support or refute the race to the bottom or pollution haven hypotheses. Some studies look at aggregate patterns of FDI flows, which indicate a rise in the flows of FDI in dirty industries to developing countries, and others look at rates of exports for
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pollution intensive products.\textsuperscript{369} Comparing environmental laws is problematic due to the high number of variables involved. While there are a variety of empirical studies and individual examples, there is no conclusive data as to whether FDI is overall beneficial or harmful to the environment.

The majority of studies suggest that the evidence of a race to the bottom or pollution haven simply does not exist,\textsuperscript{370} especially in Western industrialized economies,\textsuperscript{371} where there exists greater political obstacles to the lowering of environmental standards. But in the context of SEZs in developing countries, many commentators cite Mexico's \textit{maquiladora} border region as proof of industrial flight and pollution haven.\textsuperscript{372} Low pollution abatement costs due to lax environmental regulation appear to have been a determinant for drawing FDI to the region.\textsuperscript{373} Evidence of business relocation was seen in the chemical industry where investment in Mexico ballooned by over twenty-fold from 1982-1990, following a tightening of environmental regulations in the US.\textsuperscript{374} Production of some hazardous chemical products banned or strictly regulated in the US expanded in Mexico. However, it has been argued that low labour costs acted as the primary magnet for FDI to this region.\textsuperscript{375} Leonard also sees the Mexican situation as more a product of regulatory chill rather than a race to the bottom, as the environmental regime in the \textit{maquiladora} region area has always been relatively light.\textsuperscript{376}

The race to the bottom thesis has also been applied to international labour markets. It is postulated that FDI may cause jobs
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to relocate from high-income countries to labour-abundant economies in the developing world, forcing a competitive downward decline in real wages and the quality of working conditions all around.\textsuperscript{377} There is some empirical evidence that FDI flows are responsible for pushing wages downward in the global labour market and generating factory sweatshops.\textsuperscript{378} Labour conditions in some of East Asia's SEZs appear to support the race to the bottom hypothesis.\textsuperscript{379} Economic globalization is also said to be associated with a rise in exploitation of child labour.\textsuperscript{380} However, separate empirical research rejects the notion that FDI in newly industrialized countries is associated with sweatshops and declining labour market conditions.\textsuperscript{381} Other research also suggests that global trade and associated foreign investment flows have had only modest impacts — positive or negative on labour markets — suggesting that other aspects of globalization (e.g., technology transfer and volatile capital markets) have greater impacts and risk for the welfare of workers.\textsuperscript{382}

Some scholarship on investment relocation focuses on the nature of decision-making in TNCs. The main thesis is that international firms invest where they can exploit a comparative advantage. Increasing environmental management costs due to tightening environmental laws can detract from this advan-
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But most research suggests that investors rarely factor environmental costs into their decision-making on investment locations. The strictness or laxity of environmental regulation in the host country is usually not determinative. Environmental compliance costs are more likely to be a factor in the location of a new production facility rather than the relocation of an existing facility. Of higher priority to corporations usually are considerations of the availability of cheap labor, the natural resource endowments of the host country, existing infrastructure, taxes, transportation costs, availability of raw materials and market size.

The literature also highlights a variety of extra-legal pressures on TNCs to act environmentally responsible. The global reach of TNCs is starting to be matched by the capacity of home country environmental groups and consumers to monitor and act against corporate behaviour overseas. Companies' financial sponsors such as banks and institutional investors may demand environmental due diligence of international investment activities in order to avoid bad publicity or costly pollution liabilities. Scrutiny from international consumer, labour and environmental groups can also influence foreign investors to adhere to credible environmental standards.

Nonetheless, there is some evidence that Asian governments have diluted their environmental regulations in order to entice investment. Some Japanese companies relocated to their poorer Southeast Asian neighbours apparently to take advantage of lower environmental controls. There is also evidence of the migration of Japan's cement industry to China to take advantage
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of softer environmental regulations. Esty and Gentry found that foreign energy companies were under pressure to reduce environmental standards in order to satisfy the Chinese authorities' desire for the cheapest generation of electricity. In 1993, Taiwanese firms involved in recycling hazardous substances relocated their operations to the eastern coastal areas of China, such as Shenzhen and Zhuhai, after Taiwanese authorities banned trading these substances. Xia Youfou found that many foreign investors in polluting industries entered into joint ventures with China's township and village factories that are usually beyond the reach of national environmental regulators. An OECD environmental performance review of China noted, "foreign firms promoted imports of outdated technologies and polluting or toxic substances, taking advantage of the lower (or more flexible) environmental standards in China." In another reported incident, Hong Kong manufacturers of styrofoam and electrical circuit boards, constructed with ozone-depleting CFCs (chlorofluorocarbons), relocated their activities to China's SEZs. As a developing country, China has enjoyed a longer grace period to phase-out CFCs according to the terms of the Montreal Protocol, a status not enjoyed by Hong Kong. Nonetheless, this report also noted that "the move into China is part of a wider phenomenon that is occurring mainly for reasons unrelated to the difficulties or cost of reducing consumption of CFCs. Cheap, plentiful labour in Guangdong province is the main factor luring hundreds of Hong Kong companies across the border."

Countering the pollution haven theory, there are some examples where FDI has stimulated improvements in the host country's environmental regulations. The OECD has reported that foreign investors in China have brought significant resources for investment in environmental technology development as well as corporate environmental management systems. Professor David Wheeler examined air quality trends in the United States,
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China, Brazil and Mexico, the latter three representing the top three shares of FDI for developing countries in the 1990s. A general improvement of air quality in the major cities of all four countries was found. Professor Michael Porter surmises that stronger environmental regulations can improve a country’s competitiveness by fostering innovation and efficiency. China is cited as an example where government policy in the power industry is to prefer investors that upgrade coal-fired power plants to clean combustion technology. Further, Professor David Vogel argues that obtaining access to greener industrialized country markets can motivate higher standards. For instance, both South Korea and Japan upgraded their emission control standards for automobiles according to standards in the United States and the EU. Similarly, Ruishu and others claim that large state-owned factories in China have assumed higher production costs to meet stronger environmental standards in industrialized country markets.

Another driver for the “race to the top” is that TNCs sometimes seek to apply their home country’s environmental standards regardless of where they do business. It can be more efficient to apply one set of standards than to adapt them to each country, and using home country standards can help alleviate harassment from home country consumers and environment groups. For example, General Motors has made an effort to comply with the United States’ national environmental standards regardless of where it is producing. Investors’ adoption of home country standards can be particularly helpful in situations where the host government lacks the capacity to effectively regulate en-
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vironmental impacts. This phenomenon contributes to the "pollution halo" theory, which posits that host country environmental standards are improved as a result of FDI imports of advanced, cleaner technologies and corporate environmental management systems. It dovetails with the ecological modernization doctrine, which predicts that improved corporate environmental performance can yield economic benefits as well. Some foreign companies may also see environmental technology market opportunities in the SEZs. Bruce Tremayne and Penny de Waal found a trend towards environmental ventures in China with foreign investors, such as selling technologies for treatment and recycling of wastes. The ability of foreign companies to import pollution control technologies into the SEZs and open cities without customs duties also facilitates their compliance with environmental regulations.

C. ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION IN SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES

Environmental regulation in China's SEZs

The most informative evidence regarding SEZ environmental regulation in East Asia comes from China's SEZs. Contrary to the race to the bottom thesis, Chinese authorities have ostensibly expanded and strengthened environmental controls in the SEZs and open cities in recent years. The 1986 Provisional Regulations on Environment Control for Economic Zones Open to Foreigners is the main national instrument, and is complemented by regulations specific to each zone and each open city. Local authorities rather than the central government have the responsibility of developing most of the details of the environmental regulations specific to their jurisdiction.
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While many developments during the early years of China's SEZ reforms proceeded with merely perfunctory considerations of their environmental and social effects, authorities quickly began to take environmental regulation more seriously for several reasons. First, PRC leaders felt that stronger environmental regulation would help them regain political control over the SEZs, which were gaining notoriety for "excesses" such as corruption and financial mismanagement. Such problems convinced authorities of the need for more supervision of activities taking place in the zones. Second, tighter environmental regulation was also an outcome of internal struggles within the CCP between conservative and liberal factions over the direction of the market reforms. The conservatives cited environmental problems among the various reasons to oppose the SEZ model, thus prompting the reformers to increase environmental regulation in the SEZs to forestall further consternation. Thirdly, the strengthening of environmental laws in the SEZs would, believed some CCP leaders, facilitate the reception of Western legal concepts and technologies, which could assist China's modernization. For example, the introduction of EIA procedures could help improve the efficiency and productivity of Chinese industry by reducing profligate resource consumption. Finally, international development agencies financing projects in China have encouraged improvements to environmental regulation. The Asian Development Bank claims "a market-based economy offers more opportunities as it has proved easier for the government to effectively regulate the conduct of the private sector than to regulate itself." Thus, for example, "government officials in charge of environmental protection do not feel the same responsibility under a command economy to ensure the survival of a state-managed enterprise." But while environmental controls in China's SEZs have been clearly fortified, their effectiveness is less apparent. A lingering structural weakness of much of Chinese environmental law is the assumption that the economy is a command, planned one, where production is dominated by state-owned enterprises. The SEZ environmental regulations have a command and sanc-
tion orientation, lack economic incentives for businesses to improve environmental practice and provide little opportunity for public input into decision-making. There is insufficient market-based regulation, such as environmental taxes and negotiable management agreements, that might offer a superior means of promoting environmental performance among the increasing numbers of private companies operating in these zones.

A complex web of municipal regulation and bureaucratic administration has arisen from the decentralization of economic and environmental responsibilities to local authorities in the SEZs. Local authorities are incongruously expected to be both advocates of business enterprise, and environmental regulators of business. Local authorities also tend to lack the financial resources and environmental expertise to be effective environmental watchdogs. And in many municipalities, the local environmental protection bureau holds a low administrative rank, which further diminishes its limited influence. Municipal authorities, of course, do not have a completely free reign in the SEZs and open cities, which are usually of provincial or national strategic significance. Stories of severe pollution and environmental degradation could bring unwelcome publicity to the SEZs, and thus central government bodies have established some minimum environmental standards for all SEZs.

In 1986, the central government issued the Provisional Regulations on Environment Control for Economic Zones Open to Foreigners. Applicable to domestic and foreign enterprises, the regulations have the stated aim: "to prevent and control environmental pollution and ecological damage, to ensure the health of human beings, to protect and create a sound investment environment and to promote economic and social development." Among their specific provisions, the regulations require SEZ managing authorities to include environmental protection measures in local development plans; to conduct environmental assessment studies of new development proposals; and to prohibit new projects that pose specified serious harms. Local authorities are permitted to adopt supplementary pollution con-
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control standards, which may be stricter than baseline provincial standards.\textsuperscript{428}

To get an insight into their effectiveness, it is worth commenting on the Provisional Regulations' provisions for environmental assessment of new investment projects. Such projects must incorporate appropriate pollution control facilities,\textsuperscript{429} and each contract between the authorities and project proponent must specify measures to control pollution in conformity with applicable legislation.\textsuperscript{430} Project proponents must submit a report on environmental protection measures to be adopted, for evaluation by the local environmental protection department (EPD).\textsuperscript{431} From the standpoint of Western environmental law precedents, there are various shortcomings in these procedures. Notably, there is no reference to opportunities for public participation in this process and, indeed, the responsible EPD is directed to keep confidential the information provided by businesses under its supervision.\textsuperscript{432} Moreover, there is no guidance in the regulations on the methods for the preparation of the environmental protection measures and on what basis they would be assessed. It can, however, be reasonably assumed that pollution per se would not bar project approval, because Article 9 provides a mechanism for the issuance of pollution discharge permits, even if national or local environmental standards are exceeded, so long as the prescribed fee is charged. Businesses that pollute without permission face various enforcement measures, including financial compensation orders for the cleanup of pollution and administrative fines.\textsuperscript{433}

Besides this general template for environmental governance, local authorities in the SEZs and open cities have enacted environmental regulations tailored to their localities. Presently, Shenzhen SEZ has the largest collection of environmental rules.\textsuperscript{434} Since 1992, when the municipality was granted the right to pass laws, it has enacted at least 50 regulations and decrees
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concerning environmental protection. Similarly, Xaimen and Hainan SEZs have passed numerous local environmental protection regulations over the same period. Among the open cities, Shanghai has probably the most substantial library of environmental regulations. In all these cases, the SEZ regulations encompass such matters as land use planning, provision of urban amenities, pollution licensing and waste disposal. Poor legislative drafting, reflected by vague or unworkable provisions, plagues many regulations. For example, the Regulations of the Shenzhen SEZ on the Appearance of the City and Environmental Sanitation provide, "All units and individuals shall perform an obligation to preserve the appearance of the city and environmental sanitation, and take good care of public facilities." The regulation offers no definition of "environmental sanitation," and leaves it to the relevant municipal department to prepare a "plan of environmental sanitation" where, presumably, the matter would be defined. Similarly, the Measures on Administration of the Waigaoqiao Free Trade Zone of Shanghai dictate, "any projects which may cause environmental pollution shall be prohibited to be carried out in the Free Trade Zone." Again, the key phrase "environmental pollution" is left undefined, and the potentially all-encompassing and draconian scope of this rule does not assist regulators wishing to accommodate economically promising new investments that may pose minor pollution risks.
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The SEZ environmental regulations are somewhat austere and authoritarian, and provide few opportunities for formal citizen participation in SEZ environmental decision-making. The Regulations on Prevention and Control of Pollution of the Sea Areas of the Shenzhen SEZ bark, "It shall be forbidden for a ship with cargo in bulk to wash its polluted decks within a harbour,"\textsuperscript{442} for which stiff fines are to be imposed.\textsuperscript{443} In China, the public has traditionally been seen by authorities as a mass to be mobilized and educated, through activities such as "Tree Planting Day" or "Love the Birds Week."\textsuperscript{444} Opportunities for public involvement arise mainly in relation to enforcement actions. Thus, the Regulations of the Shenzhen SEZ on the Appearance of the City and Environmental Sanitation provide, "The responsible department may engage some urban residents as volunteer-watchers to assist propagandistic education as well to help correct illegal acts."\textsuperscript{445} More concretely, the Regulations on Prevention and Control of Pollution of the Sea Areas of the Shenzhen SEZ give pollution victims the right to claim damages: "Any unit and individual falling a victim to the damages caused by environmental pollution in the sea areas shall have a right to claim compensation for loss from the party responsible for pollution."\textsuperscript{446}

The extensive decentralisation of responsibilities to municipal authorities in China has contributed to variable and inconsistent implementation of environmental regulations in the SEZs. An unprecedented nationwide probe of the country's development zones, conducted by the Ministry of Land and Resources in 2003, found rampant land use and planning law abuses.\textsuperscript{447} Astonishingly, it is reported that the probe resulted in 2,426 development zones being closed by authorities.\textsuperscript{448} Of particular concern was evidence uncovered about the loss of arable lands to make way for the development zones and industrial parks.\textsuperscript{449} Legislation delegating powers to SEZ municipal authorities is vulnerable to being undermined by those authorities following local economic aspirations that diverge from national environmental
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protection objectives. From the reforms, municipal governments have become "fully fledged economic actors, not just administrative-service providers." It is said that the "diminution in the central state's capacity to control local policy decisions through the traditional mechanisms of patronage and Party discipline has meant that to a very large extent Beijing rules the provinces in name only." The power of local authorities is increasingly linked to local business interests, from whom they derive revenue and political support. Municipal governments in the PRC are embedded in various informal economic arrangements and institutional norms, the constellation of which is seen as "heralding the potential emergence of local corporatism." Apart from their antipathy to national policies that might hurt local economic growth, municipal authorities struggle to manage environmental problems that transcend their jurisdictional boundaries, and may lack the resources and technical expertise to address even local pollution hazards.

But when we look at China's flagship SEZs, subject to much greater central oversight, environmental regulation appears to be much more effective. Some of China's major cities with SEZs have experienced significant declines in pollution. For example, Wheeler found that from 1987 to 1995 particulate airborne matter concentration in the sampled cities declined from almost 500 g/m³ to about 300 g/m³. Further, China reduced its energy intensity (i.e., the quantity of energy used per unit of output) by about 50 percent since 1980. A 1999 survey suggested less than half of China's industrial enterprises met national environmental standards, with compliance highest in eastern China (48.8%) and lowest in the west (23.5%). All of the cities in the major SEZs were among those coming closest to meeting air quality standards. According to the State Environmental Protection Administration, only 11 cities met or exceeded national air quality standards in 2000, and these cities included all the SEZ municipalities in Fujian and Guangdong provinces. The relatively few
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State Owned Enterprises in the SEZ cities partly may explain their success in achieving environmental standards. In particular, pollution loads in Shenzhen are significantly lower than typical Chinese cities.458 Shenzhen has complied with national air and water quality standards, and has been described as “among the top five environmental enforcers in China.”459 The Shenzhen city authorities are reported to have vetoed 3,619 projects that have failed to meet environmental requirements.”460 According to Qiu Mei, former deputy director of the Shenzhen Environmental Protection Bureau, by 1998 Shenzhen authorities had “dealt with 700 environmental cases in the past five years involving fines totalling 11.5 million yuan (US $1.4 million) [and had] shut down dozens of small factories and rejected 240 projects of 4,000 projects because they were deemed potentially polluting.”461

These achievements have been acknowledged by various environmental awards and honours. In recent years, Chinese authorities have begun to see the SEZs as places to showcase environmental excellence. A decade ago, Profaizer showed perspicacity to argue that China “should utilize the SEZs as an environmental laboratory to study how economic growth can proceed in cooperation with environmental protection.”462 Since 1997, Chinese authorities have designated five places as “model” environmental cities — all of them located in the major SEZs. The city of Shenzhen (an area which includes the SEZ) has been showered with several accolades, notably International Garden City in 2000 (bestowed by the International Association of Gardens and Recreational Facilities),463 and the Global 500 Roll of Honour of the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) in 2002.464 In bestowing the award, UNEP cited the city’s array of environmental laws, large investments in environmental protection and extensive parks. The Shenzhen City’s Master Plan 1996-2010 has provided the blueprint for an era of sustainable urban living. According to a report on its UNEP award, “since being
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established in 1980 as China’s first special economic zone, the municipal council has spent 3.8 billion yuan (US $463 million) on the environment, passed 38 local environmental laws and increased green coverage by 45 per cent.\footnote{465}

Another Chinese open economic city to receive recognition for its environmental performance is Dalian. It has received a UNEP Global 500 award and the Dalian Economic and Technological Development Zone (ETDZ) Administration was certified to the ISO 14001 voluntary standard in 1999.\footnote{466} The Dalian ETDZ authorities sought ISO certification because existing governmental environmental regulations and policies were considered inadequate to promote local sustainable.\footnote{467} For example, the ETDZ’s new environmental system includes a plan to reduce the SO2 emissions and dust pollution from coal burning by replacing coal with liquefied petroleum gas for domestic consumption.\footnote{468} The Dalian ETDZ Administration is also helping local enterprises achieve their own ISO 14001 certification.\footnote{469}

The SEZs usually have the advantage of extra resources — financial and technological from the infusion of foreign investment to address environmental problems.\footnote{470} Some of the environmental achievements of the SEZs appear to owe not so much to diligent regulation but investment in environmental infrastructure and public works schemes, such as construction of sewage treatment facilities, recreational parks and afforestation initiatives. Haikou city (on Hainan island SEZ) has invested heavily in tree planting, and 39 percent of the city is described as “green.”\footnote{471} Shenzhen’s environmental improvements can be credited in part to investments in hard infrastructure such as modern sewage treatment works.\footnote{472} In contrast to environmental investments, pollution and land use regulations appear to have played a less influential role. In November 2001, Xiamen SEZ was chosen to host an international exposition of environmental cities, as it had been listed among the “Top 40 Cities” for envi-
Between 1991-1995, Xiamen city spent 1.5 percent of its total GDP on environmental protection (about double the national average of 0.7 percent), and for the 1996-2000 period this rose to three percent of its GDP. Thus, the superior wealth and high national profile of the SEZs would appear to be factors that have enabled them to achieve superior environmental conditions, rather than as a result of innovations in their environmental regulation per se. Such wealth, however, would probably be unlikely in the absence of foreign investment.

There is a miscellany of other factors that help explain why certain SEZs have achieved superior environmental results. Such “showcase” cities are, by definition, exceptions. Most Chinese cities are very different—one United Nations study describing them as “vociferous consumers of resources and relentless emitters of pollutants.” Some SEZs enjoy superior environmental conditions because they had a head-start over other Chinese cities; initially set-up in relatively undeveloped areas, SEZs were given an advantage to engage in more systematic, careful planning from the outset. Before the SEZ policies, Shenzhen “was just a sleepy border town” in “agricultural backwater.” Similarly, in Vietnam, authorities established new industrial zones in the relatively undeveloped areas of Hanoi to avoid the pollution and congestion that affected older industrial areas in the city. Because much economic activity in the SEZs and open cities is new, it is also caught by environmental regulations that otherwise exempt existing industrial facilities. If they cannot comply, SEZ authorities have sometimes simply ejected their most pollut-
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ing industries beyond city limits. \textsuperscript{480} Around Shenzhen, for example, is the highly polluted Pearl River Delta. \textsuperscript{481} Finally, environmental model city status is probably partly due to the presence in these areas of a relatively well-educated workforce. A World Bank study of environmental policy in China noted that where communities have higher levels of literacy and education, they are more likely to complain about pollution. \textsuperscript{482} Various studies show a correlation between rising income and rising societal demand for improved environmental amenities. \textsuperscript{483} This is because pollution damage receives a high priority after rising wealth has financed basic investments in health and education, and because wealthier societies have more resources to devote to environmental protection. \textsuperscript{484}

**Environmental regulation in other East Asian SEZs**

There has been comparatively less effort to develop environmental regulations tailored to the SEZs in other parts of East Asia. There is also some evidence that environmental standards may be waived or diluted for SEZ investments. Looking at South Korea first, the recent Act on Designation and Operation of Free Economic Zones, \textsuperscript{485} promulgated in 2002, provides that “the possibility of securing the environmentally sound and sustainable development” is a matter to be taken into account by the government’s Free Economic Zone Committee when selecting sites. \textsuperscript{486} Further, the plan for each new free economic zone must include a “program for environmental preservation.” \textsuperscript{487} Controversially, Korean legislation also permits the authorities to reduce or waive any applicable pollution levies, environmental improvement charges or water use fees, where they consider this necessary “for smoothly carrying out the development project of any free economic zone.” \textsuperscript{488} Further, approval from the Minister of Finance and Economy of a zone’s implementation plan is deemed to supersede the need for any further administrative ap-
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provals under separate environmental and planning laws (e.g.,
for clearance of forestry reserves, diversion of farmland to indus-
trial development or establishment of sewage facilities).\textsuperscript{489} These
latter provisions dangerously displace environmental protection
regulations and standards, which may not be adequately incorpo-
rated into a zone’s development plan. Environmental and labour
laws are known to have been previously waived in Masan SEZ,
for investors.\textsuperscript{490} South Korea’s foreign investment laws are simi-
larly vague or non-committal on environmental protection. The
only reference to the environment in the Foreign Investment
Promotion Law\textsuperscript{491} is a proscription against investment “where it
has harmful effects on public hygiene or the environmental pres-
evation of the Republic of Korea.”\textsuperscript{492}

Like Korea, Taiwan has not enacted any environmental stat-
utes specifically for its SEZs and has relied on miscellaneous pro-
visions for environmental management and planning scattered
among Taiwan’s economic and environmental legislation. Thus,
the Air Pollution Control Act of 1975 mentions that “when de-
veloping special industrial parks air quality monitoring facilities
shall be planned and installed within the boundaries of the parks
or in appropriate zones.”\textsuperscript{493} Further, the Environmental Impact
Assessment Act of 1994 requires that environmental assessments
be undertaken into proposals to establish any industrial park.\textsuperscript{494}
Interestingly, as in China, Taiwanese authorities have begun to
see their SEZs as arenas for showcasing their best environmental
practices. Taiwan’s Environmental Protection Administration has
recently announced plans to establish “eco-parks” in Hualien
and Kaohsiung counties, and in Taoyuan and Tainan counties, to
promote investment and development of environmentally
friendly industries.\textsuperscript{495} The “Environmental Science and Technol-
ogy Parks,” as they are formally described, are expected to pio-
near research and development into clean energies and waste
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recycling, for example. Similarly, Singapore has established an Eco-Recycling park for industries wishing to develop commercial applications for recycled products.

Vietnam has promulgated a miscellany of regulations and guidelines that contain provisions on the environmental activities of foreign investors in its special industrial zones. Management plans for the establishment of industrial zones are to include measures for environmental protection, although the relevant regulation contains no guidance on what specific measures should be incorporated into the plans. Among the taxonomy of investments to be encouraged and which are enumerated in the 1996 Law on Foreign Investment in Vietnam, are those for "protecting the environment or investing in research and development." It is also stated in a 1997 administrative circular that industries prohibited from investing in the country's industrial zones include those producing harmful chemicals, dyes and radioactive materials. Another circular on the EIA of investment projects specifies requirements for investors to undertake environmental assessments according to standards formulated by the Ministry of Science. Also relevant is the Regulation on the Protection of the Environment in Industrial Parks, which posits procedures for the construction of development infrastructure in manufacturing areas, and procedures for inspections to evaluate environmental conditions and the processing of industrial
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Implementation of these measures appears wanting so far. One study has reported that the National Law on Environmental Protection and the National Environmental Agency "are relatively weak compared to the pro-industry sections of government and also to the various regional governments. The consequence is that the Export Processing Zones, like those in Ho Chi Minh City and Haiphong, experience minimal pressure to adhere to proper procedure."

In Thailand, all designated industrial estates are overseen by the Industrial Estate Authority (IEA). The IEA is a state enterprise attached to the Ministry of Industry with a legislative mandate to promote industrial development in accordance with land use planning and environmental protection measures. The IEA is responsible for industrial pollution control and other environmental management within all of the industrial estates. It has set up environmental monitoring systems and a central wastewater treatment plant in each estate, though it usually subcontracts this work to private contractors. Companies in the industrial estates pay to use these facilities, and thus have incentives to reduce waste. Companies that generate wastewater containing chemicals such as heavy metals are obliged to establish their own in-house pre-treatment facilities. Although the IEA is able to support waste and environmental management facilities in the estates, because it is charged with the conflicting responsibilities of both promoting development and regulating the environmental effects of that development, it has been known to be reluctant to enforce pollution controls that are unpopular with its investors.

The Philippine's Special Economic Zone Act 1995 contains just two brief clauses on environmental management. The Act provides that the Philippine Economic Zone Authority "in coordination with the appropriate agencies, shall take concrete and appropriate steps and enact the proper measures for the protec-
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tion of the local environment." The legislation unfortunately gives no hint as to what constitutes “concrete and appropriate steps.” Secondly, the Special Economic Zone Act also authorises the government to compulsorily acquire lands within or adjacent to an SEZ “for the protection of watershed areas and natural assets valuable to the prosperity” of the zone. More helpful are the extensive environmental provisions in the SEZ laws enacted for specific zones. Thus, the Cagayan Special Economic Zone Act of 1995 gives the Cagayan Economic Zone Authority (CEZA) the powers, inter alia, “to protect, preserve, maintain and develop the virgin forests, beaches, coral and coral reefs within the Zone. The virgin forest within the Zone will be proclaimed as a national park and will be covered by a permanent total log ban. For this purpose, the rules and regulations of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources shall be implemented by the CEZA.” The Act also gives the Authority power “to adopt, implement and enforce reasonable measures and standards to control pollution within the Zone.” Similar environmental provisions are contained in the Zamboanga City Special Economic Zone Act of 1995. The Philippine Foreign Investments Act of 1991 also provides that: “all industrial enterprises regardless of nationality shall comply with existing rules and regulations to protect and conserve the environment and meet applicable environmental standards.” The Implementing Rules and Regulations of the Foreign Investments Act elaborates by specifying that the applicable environmental standards are those set by the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, which shall provide the Securities and Exchange Commission with a list of environmentally critical activities, projects and areas.
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In passing, it is worth noting that the experience of other nations outside of East Asia reveals a similar contradictory pattern of strong and weak SEZ environmental regulation. In Costa Rica, for instance, the Free Zone Law excluded a wide range of regulations, including environmental ones, from application to its free economic zones.\textsuperscript{515} The Belize Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations also exempt development projects in its export processing zones from regular environmental review, but only where a project has already been approved pursuant to an alternative EIA review mechanism, and it does not pose serious pollution problems.\textsuperscript{516} At the other end of the spectrum some states have developed specific environmental management regimes for their SEZs. Zambia’s Export Processing Zones Act of 2001 provides for special EIA provisions for foreign investment projects in its zones.\textsuperscript{517} Sri Lanka has a separate department in its Board of Investment to monitor environmental conditions in its SEZs, and each zone benefits from its own environmental monitoring facility, and investors are subject to specific pollution control standards and limits.\textsuperscript{518}

D. Differential Environmental Regulation of Foreign and Domestic Enterprises: The Chinese Experience

To properly understand the environmental consequences of SEZs, we should assess how governing authorities have regulated and managed the environmental activities of foreign investors. Differences between the way foreign and domestic enterprises are regulated could be expected in countries seeking to industrialize through FDI. One might expect regulators to treat foreign investors - bringing coveted capital, technology and management skills - more favourably than domestic enterprises. Theories of inter-jurisdictional competition for economic investment predict this exact situation. The question therefore is: do the privileges and incentives offered by SEZs to foreign investors extend to "special" arrangements concerning environmental regulation? Certainly, there are some notorious instances where authorities have waived environmental rules to avoid jeopardizing foreign investment deals. This is alleged to have occurred in the approval
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of Sony Corporation's TV kinescope production facility in China's Pudong New Area.\textsuperscript{519} A similar story of expedited approval and perfunctory compliance with environmental procedures involved the Shell oil refinery project in Guandong.\textsuperscript{520} However, as the following analysis will show, in fact environmental regulators seem more likely to treat domestic enterprises leniently.

In general, Chinese environmental law ostensibly applies equally to all entities, whether a foreign company, a state-owned enterprise (SOE), a township and village enterprise (TVE) or a domestic private company. Within the corpus of its environmental law, there are few provisions that single out foreign investors. Article 18 of the Constitution provides that all foreign investors must comply with Chinese laws and regulations and meet the host country's environmental standards.\textsuperscript{521} The procedures governing approval of foreign investments have included some environmental measures.\textsuperscript{522} Beginning in 1983, the NPC promulgated a circular which contained a provision making foreign polluters liable for environmental harm.\textsuperscript{523} In 1990, the Rules for the Implementation of the Law of the PRC on Foreign-Capital introduced a provision: "application for the establishment of a foreign-capital enterprise shall not be approved if the proposed enterprise would involve possible creation of environmental pollution."\textsuperscript{524} The Rules also stipulated that an application to establish a foreign-capital enterprise must disclose the risks of "environmental pollution and the measures for tackling pollution."\textsuperscript{525}

China's main regulations concerning the allowable scope for foreign investment are presently the Regulations for Guiding the
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Direction of Foreign Investment of 2002\textsuperscript{526} and its companion Catalogue for Guiding Foreign Investment in Industry.\textsuperscript{527} They provide guidance to prospective investors on which foreign investment projects can be approved at a local government level, the preferential treatment that such projects will receive, and which investment projects are restricted or prohibited in China. The Guiding Regulations and Catalogue were updated in 2002 to bring China’s foreign investment rules in line with the requirements of its WTO membership.\textsuperscript{528} These regulations divide industrial and commercial sectors into four categories for foreign investment: encouraged, permitted, restricted and prohibited investments. The Foreign Investment Catalogue lists the sectors in which foreign investment is encouraged, restricted or prohibited. Foreign investment is deemed “permitted” in sectors that are not listed, except where other laws, regulations or industrial policies provide otherwise. Reflecting the growing opening of China’s economy since its WTO accession, the number of industries in the “encouraged” category has increased from 186 to 262, while the “restricted” category shrank from 112 to 75 items. One significant difference between the “encouraged” category and the other categories is that customs duties for certain qualifying imported equipment and import-level value-added tax may be waived only for companies in the “encouraged” group. Applications for “permitted” projects involving an investment of more than US$30 million must be handled by central or provincial government authorities, while smaller projects may be approved at the local level.

Among the investment projects deemed “encouraged” by these regulations are those that involve use of renewable resources, new technology or equipment for preventing and controlling environmental pollution. Also included, are projects that use new or advanced technology to save energy, raw materials, raise economic efficiency or alleviate shortages in the domestic market.\textsuperscript{529} Restricted categories of foreign investment include projects with an adverse effect on the environment or energy conservation; and projects involving the exploration for and/or
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extraction of rare or precious mineral resources. Prohibited foreign-investments, according to the Catalogue, include projects that pollute the environment or endanger human health; and projects that occupy large tracts of farmland. These provisions are reinforced by rules in the Foreign Trade Law, which enable authorities to prohibit the import or export of goods or technology: (i) where necessary to protect the lives or health of citizens; and (ii) where the ecological environment will be endangered.

Not only do the above provisions suggest that foreign investments are subject to greater environmental scrutiny than projects initiated by domestic enterprises, but in practice foreign investment projects "are almost always monitored at the national level because of the widely acknowledged fact that local regulators may be overly sensitive to the need to attract outside investment." For instance, foreign projects are more likely than domestic projects to be subject to EIA procedures. According to the Management Procedures for Environmental Protection in Construction Projects, the State Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA) is generally responsible for the review and approval of the EIA process for "mega projects" representing investments larger than RMB 200 million, which will usually be foreign investment projects. Such projects must also be approved by the State Planning Commission. The Management Procedures also stipulates that business contracts concerning the management of foreign-construction projects shall not contain clauses that contravene Chinese national or local environmental laws.

The structures for the implementation and enforcement of environmental regulation also allow for some distinctions to be made between domestic and foreign enterprises. Professors Zhang Hongjun and Richard Ferris argue that, "local enforcement practices may vary the application of the law with respect to domestic and foreign-owned operations, or even between foreign operations owned by different companies." An important consideration is that China's environmental regulations and policies have been institutionalised primarily within the State Owned Enterprise (SOE) sector. For example, a study by Hua Wang and others of China's pollution levy system found that levy pay-
ments are generally based on negotiations between factory managers and local environmental protection offices; and that private companies tend to have less bargaining clout than the SOEs over the size of levy payments.\textsuperscript{538} The mechanisms for collection of discharge fees, monitoring of environmental pollution and so on, arose principally for the SOE sector. Thus, policies aimed at expanding the market economy have resulted in the challenge of implementing equal treatment by Chinese environmental authorities of SOE’s and foreign enterprises.

A comparison of the environmental regulation of foreign and domestic businesses in China is complicated by the fact that foreign investment does not always involve direct investment through a single company, but rather is undertaken in cooperation with a local enterprise through a joint venture or other form of association.\textsuperscript{539} Among the various business partnerships, contractual or equity joint ventures are the most popular arrangements.\textsuperscript{540} Under a contractual joint venture, the rights, obligations and profit sharing between the partners are governed by contract. An equity joint venture materializes as a limited liability corporation, in which the domestic and foreign partners take equity shares, with profits distributed according to their respective shares. Chinese authorities have used investment regulations to encourage or mandate joint ventures.\textsuperscript{541} Nonetheless, wholly foreign-owned ventures remain the most popular investment vehicle, recently amounting to about 65 percent of China’s total FDI.\textsuperscript{542}

Some research suggests that foreign investment in China, whether undertaken through a joint venture or WFO, is more stringently regulated for its environmental effects than domestic economic activity. In joint ventures, the domestic partner tends to leave the environmental management concerns to the foreign partner, and authorities usually target enforcement of environmental laws against that foreign partner.\textsuperscript{543} Professors Julia Klee and Felicity Thomas argue that, “because many Chinese officials consider international companies to have more resources and
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more experience in meeting pollution-control requirements, they often expect them to be in full and immediate compliance with the requirements.\footnote{544}{Julia E. Klee & Felicity C. Thomas, *An Evolving Environmental Framework*, 24 *China Bus. Rev.* 34, 39 (1997).} Sims found that authorities are much more likely to collect environmental fines from foreign companies "that are believed to have deep pockets" than from SOEs, which are often at the margins of solvency.\footnote{545}{Sims, *supra* note 201, at 1241.} The differential treatment of foreign firms and the SOEs also owes to the pivotal role SOEs play in providing housing, health and other social welfare services to local communities.

Yasheng Huang suggests that the foreign investor enterprise-government relations are typically "more arm's length" than equivalent relations between regulators and domestic enterprises.\footnote{546}{Huang, *supra* 522, at 410.} The foreign investment regulations, for example, do not generally refer to the CCP and do not mandate establishment of Party units within foreign companies.\footnote{547}{*Id.*} Foreign enterprises are also less likely to be immersed in local *guanxi*,\footnote{548}{However, the *guanxi* relations that can undermine adherence to the letter of the law can also arise with foreign companies, because a significant number of foreign investors are overseas Chinese domiciled in Malaysia and Taiwan.} which can impede referral of cases to the courts.\footnote{549}{Huang, *supra* note 522, at 490.} The first environmental law case in a Chinese SEZ was decided in 1985, *Shenzhen Municipal Shekou District Environmental Monitoring Station v. Kaida Enterprises Ltd.*\footnote{550}{The case is translated in Ross & Silk, *supra* note 444, at 258-71.} The case involved a Hong Kong based toy company, which was successfully prosecuted and fined for pollution based on violations of China's Environmental Protection Law, even though the company's business contract with the SEZ authorities did not contain any environmental protection measures.

Whereas the letter of the law is more likely to be applied to foreign entities, a pragmatic and tolerant approach is often displayed towards local enterprises.\footnote{551}{Case examples of tougher regulation of foreign companies are discussed in *Pollution Control In The PRC: An Investors' Guide* (S. Clarke & F. Thomas eds., 1997).} Surveys of the environmental regulation of Chinese firms reveal lax supervision and arbitrary enforcement practices.\footnote{552}{Ulrich Steger et al., *Greening Chinese Business* 25 (2003).} This is particularly the case with the SOE sector, despite the fact that the structures for the implementation of environmental regulation arose principally out of the SOE sector. Enforcement action against SOEs is less likely than
against foreign or other domestic enterprises because SOEs function as more than mere factories. Because they provide important local social services to workers and their families, such as housing and health care, many SOEs are allowed to continue operations despite pollution emissions. While recent reforms to the SOE sector have given the enterprise managers more autonomy and scope to make independent business decisions, these reforms have hardly touched the environmental policy dimensions of the SOE system. These polluting SOEs, argue Professors Julia Klee and Felicity Thomas, "frequently lack the capital to invest in clean technologies to meet the requirements of environmental regulation and, thus, receive deferential application, if any, of environmental requirements." Local environmental protection bureaux have been known to reduce discharge fees for SOEs facing insolvency. The fact that unprofitable enterprises benefit from lenient regulation reflects the continuing presence of socialist practice and principles. Thus, as China shifts to a market economy, tensions will arise between such socialist redistributive policies and the need to promote an efficient allocation of scarce resources. If China is to make strides in protecting its environment, it must separate key social services from the unprofitable enterprises. Such services must be provided through another medium to ensure people still get them but that only profitable and environmentally sound firms operate. Local government is one possible alternate medium.

V. FROM ECONOMIC MODERNIZATION TO ECOLOGICAL MODERNIZATION

A. INSTRUMENTS FOR ECOLOGICAL MODERNIZATION

Industrialization and market transformation will continue to be an indelible feature of East Asia's economic policy for some time. But the region's long-term economic modernization will ultimately not be sustainable unless its economic policy is wedded
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to stronger environmental regulation. The challenge is to reform environmental law and policy to take account of East Asian circumstances without accommodating them so completely as to surrender all possibilities of improvement.

The developmental state has not disappeared from East Asia, and there often persists a large gap between the professed new market-oriented law and the traditional norms of central planning and administrative regulation. This gap particularly persists in the socialist regimes - China and Vietnam - where administrative agencies threatened by the changes in market governance have resisted reforms aimed at decreasing their power over enterprises and the economy. Concomitantly, the incomplete transition to a market economy has fueled clientelism and corporatism. Without the security of a well-functioning legal order and mature markets, businesses have sought to forge closer relationships with authorities who control access to capital, technology, raw materials and development approvals. Consequently, local authorities commonly interfere in the operation of enterprises and in disputes with third parties in an effort to protect local businesses in which they have a stake.

The problems of adapting environmental law to the transition from a planned economy to a capitalist, market-based economy are acute in China. Its still extant environmental legislation dating from the 1980s or earlier assumes enterprises are owned by the state. Some provisions of the Environmental Protection Law of 1989 — such as those conferring responsibility for use of polluting technologies and for disabling pollution controls — can be interpreted as applying only to government work units (danwei). Authorities seem to assume that national development plans can shape the behaviour of economic actors who increasingly respond to market signals — signals that may conflict with those plans. The laws do not envisage the vast and complicated array of corporate and other business entities that have proliferated since China’s economic opening. An increasingly market-based economy requires policy instruments that offer incentives for businesses to improve their environmental performance.
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A model of "ecological modernization" reform could offer major advantages to the more advanced economies of East Asia. Drawing on their study of traditional Chinese values, Professors Robert Weller and Peter Boll conclude that environmental policies will only succeed if they appeal to the profit and welfare of those charged with implementing them — from officials to factory managers. Abstract appeals to the sanctity of "nature" are unlikely to work at this stage, in their opinion.566 Although criticized by some environmentalists as a compromise that alleviates rather than solves the environmental crisis, the advantages of ecological modernization would appear to outweigh its disadvantages, especially for economies rapidly industrializing.567 Ecological modernization doctrine promises a healthy synergy between economic development and environmental protection when economies and technologies are "modernized" to allow for more efficient and less wasteful production.568 Modernization can occur through corporate environmental management systems and the application of advanced, clean technologies, encouraged through policy instruments such as pollution taxes and corporate environmental reporting obligations. The ecological modernization model was the basis of Japan's successful response to air pollution in the 1970s, and the cleanup of West Germany's industrial and energy sectors after the acid rain-induced forest death in the 1980s.569

But ecological modernization reforms are probably not suitable for all East Asian economies. Command and control regulation is more suitable for countries setting up an environmental regulatory apparatus in the first instance, a position in which poorer nations in Indo-China presently find themselves. The standards established by command regulations are clear and can be relatively easier to monitor and enforce if resources and motivation exist. Economic policy instruments such as environmental taxes are more complex to design and implement — requiring, for instance, the constant re-evaluation of the economic costs of

568. Christoff, supra note 411; Holliday et al., supra note 334, at 83-102.
particular resources and pollution that must be reflected in the pricing of the economic incentives. Command and control regulation in much of East Asia is gradually being supplemented by a mosaic of environmental governance mechanisms, spanning market incentives, business self-regulation, management system-based approaches, voluntary reporting schemes, and audit requirements designed to increase the transparency and accountability of industry and regulators. Economic instruments such as pollution charges, pricing policy, favourable terms of investment for environmental technology, and market creation can yield significant environmental benefits. Japan, with the most advanced environmental laws in the region, continues to lead in research and experimentation with economic instruments. Elsewhere in East Asia, one can find sporadic ecological modernization measures, though nothing systematic. Financial mechanisms to encourage investment in clean technology are appearing. South Korea is promoting investment in clean technologies though its Act Relating to Environmental Technology Support and Development of 1994. There is some evidence of Korean companies embracing cleaner technologies. Vietnam has been participating in various donor projects for clean production demonstration, such as UNEP's "Strategies and Mechanisms for Promoting Cleaner Production Investments." The Singapore government is also advocating technological solutions to its environmental problems, establishing the National Energy Efficiency Committee in 2001 to forge programs to encourage more efficient use of energy by industries, homes, commercial buildings and vehicles. The government budgeted US$20 million for an Innovation for Environmental Sustainability Fund to finance pioneering projects. The Vietnam
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Ministry for the Environment is also creating a special environmental fund, financed by revenues from environmental taxes and fines, and contributions from international donors.\textsuperscript{578} This fund will be used to subsidize training, education, and emergency environmental measures. A similar financial mechanism was established by Thailand's Enhancement and Conservation of National Environmental Quality Act 1991.\textsuperscript{579} These financing schemes work more effectively when complemented by mechanisms that curtail funding to environmentally problematic enterprises.

Investment in clean technologies is also a high priority of Chinese leaders, particularly given the legacy of a state enterprise sector lumbered with old, inefficient and wasteful technologies dating from the 1950s. However, there can be cultural misunderstandings among SOE managers regarding what "clean production" entails. One anecdote is that an SOE factory boss believed it involved giving each worker a broom to clean up their workspace.\textsuperscript{580} Various government policies have advocated change, such as the 1993 Decision of the second National Working Conference on Industrial Pollution Prevention and Control,\textsuperscript{581} and the current Trans-Century Green Programme.\textsuperscript{582} In 1993, National Environmental Protection Agency established the National Cleaner Production Center to facilitate cooperation in the research, manufacture, trade and service of environmental technologies.\textsuperscript{583}

The most substantial Chinese initiative is the Clean Production Promotion Law (CPPL) of 2002.\textsuperscript{584} It shifts China's environmental regulatory focus from traditional end-of-pipe controls to the products and processes from which pollution originates. The CPPL requires enterprises to recycle some specified products and packaging, and to report regularly on their pollution emissions. It also advocates some preferential measures for those who adopt the clean production model, such as preferential loans, tax cuts or exemptions. Among the specific provisions, it is decreed
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that, "[t]he State Council shall formulate fiscal and tax policies conducive to the implementation of cleaner production." Further, there are provisions for the phasing-out of inefficient technologies; mandatory eco-product labelling; environmental assessment of new construction projects to include the feasibility of adoption of clean production methods and equipment; mandatory recycling of designated materials, and compulsory clean production audits for enterprises that violate pollution discharge regulations. Products made from approved recyclable materials are exempt from value-added tax. It is, however, premature to assess the impact of the new CPPL. Complementary reforms to the energy sector, including energy commodity pricing and removal of wasteful subsidies, will be critical to the new law's long-term success.

Another sign of ecological modernization reform is environmental taxation. Air pollution charges have been levied in South Korea and China; and water effluent treatment charges exacted in Thailand, Hong Kong and China; water consumption charges exacted in virtually all countries. Even Vietnam, with one of the most elementary environmental law systems in East Asia, has introduced regulations providing for the payment of environmental protection fees by developers and a natural resources use tax. The most widely used economic instrument in the region is wastewater charges, though they tend to have been limited to just a few big industries or large industrial estates.
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nue, and the fees are usually not calibrated to reflect the marginal cost of ecological damage. Also, their effect is often offset by the continuation of environmentally-harmful subsidies in the energy and agricultural sectors. Tradeable emission permits have hardly been introduced in the region. The potential of economic instruments to improve environmental conditions in SEZs has been demonstrated in the Philippines — there the Laguna Lake Development Authority introduced two incentive fees for water conservation and pollution abatement, which have both been evaluated as having significantly helped improve environmental conditions in the Laguna industrial estate.

The most extensively studied environmental charge in East Asia is China’s pollution discharge levy. Introduced by the State Council in the early 1980s after three years of experimentation, China’s discharge levy system formally requires that a fee be paid by any enterprise whose effluent or emission exceeds the legal standard. Charges are levied for water and air pollution, solid waste, as well as noise. The scheme was arbitrarily implemented until about 2000, when new methods of collecting the levy were adopted, coupled with more recycling of levy revenue into pollution control projects. But fundamental problems remain because the levy rate is usually much lower than the costs of installing or operating pollution control equipment, and so polluters have little incentive to minimize their discharges. In fact, enterprises typically find it cheaper to mothball existing pollution control mechanisms, which are more expensive to operate than the discharge fees. In practice, the amount of levy paid by a polluting factory ultimately depends on the result of its negotiations with the local environmental protection bureau. Nonetheless, the potential for pollution taxes to help China’s environment is clear. A study of 260 enterprises in Beijing and Tianjin with multiple water pollution sources found that an appropriately set emission charge would reduce abatement costs
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under the prevailing regulatory regime from US$47 million to $33 million annually.\textsuperscript{602}

Another way that governments can facilitate ecological modernization of their economy is by encouraging enterprises to comply with best practice corporate environmental management standards (EMSs), such as those embodied in the International Standardization Organization (ISO) 14000 series.\textsuperscript{603} An EMS provides a framework of standards and processes for corporations to improve their internal use of materials and energy, and to provide a structure for companies to identify, appraise and minimise their environmental risks. At a higher scale, the concept of the "eco-industrial park" has been advanced by scholars to extend a corporate EMS to a group of companies on an industrial estate that cooperate to reduce environmental waste and improve resource efficiency.\textsuperscript{604}

Although developing counties were not well represented in the negotiations of the drafting of the ISO 14000 series,\textsuperscript{605} the developing world including East Asia is increasingly viewing ISO 14000 as a means to stimulate corporate environmental responsibility and thereby achieve sustainable development.\textsuperscript{606} Companies in East Asia are seeking certification for several reasons, principally for reputation enhancement and environmental materials and waste cost savings.\textsuperscript{607} Among further motivations, export-oriented industries are seeking to avoid potential environmentally-based trade barriers, and some large companies are responding to shareholder demands for corporate social responsibility.\textsuperscript{608} Some empirical research in East Asia shows that ISO 14001 certified companies have a record of better com-
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pliance with government environmental regulations and standards than non ISO-certified companies.609

But as some businesses may be reluctant to implement EMSs, especially in the small business sector, where shareholder and trade pressures are weaker or non-existent, governments are looking for ways to promote more corporate participation in EMSs. China adopted the ISO 14000 series as official state policy on April 1, 1997.610 The China Accreditation Committee for Environmental Management Systems Certification Bodies works to encourage domestic enterprises to adopt the ISO 14001 standard as a means of strengthening environmental enforcement in China, and to conduct trial certifications of Chinese companies.611 The chief reason for adoption of ISO by the PRC is that it would enable China to bolster its environmental regulatory regime without sacrificing economic growth.612 Calkins suggests that China only takes “environmental steps if it sees a capitalist advantage” — thus, the economic benefits to be derived from ISO 14000 participation were seen as the “greater marketability of Chinese products, improved and streamlined raw material consumption, reduced cost of waste management, reduced liabilities.”613 So far, ISO certification among Chinese enterprises has been slow, and has arisen mostly in the context of joint ventures with Western companies operating in the SEZs.614 Surveys of Chinese firms suggest low levels of awareness and commitment to environmental protection, and a preference to adopt discrete, end-of-pipe technological solutions rather than holistic reorganisation of management practices as demanded by EMSs.615

Elsewhere in East Asia, the Taiwanese government has fervently promoted certification of corporate EMSs, and introduced environmental reporting regulatory schemes into the Environmental Protection Administration regulations.616 The government offers financial assistance to firms wishing to invest in measures to acquire ISO 14001 certification.617 The South Ko-
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The South Korean Ministry of the Environment reviews the applications, and companies deemed environmentally friendly must report annually on their progress and meet improvement plan indicators. In turn, these companies should enjoy reduced compliance inspections.

While ISO standard compliance can be a useful adjunct to the environmental regulatory process, it is certainly not in its current form an adequate substitute. To be transformed into an effective EMS would require several additional elements, notably: (i) requirements for measurable improvements in environmental performance, rather than mere adoption of an environmental management structure; (ii) environmental audits verified by a third party; (iii) publication of audit findings; and (iv) public participation mechanisms. Other corporate EMSs that overcome some of these deficits are available, such as the chemical industry's Responsible Care program, and the European Union's Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS). The EMAS, for example, seeks to promote continuous environmental performance improvement in industrial activities or company level operations, by committing certified entities to evaluate and improve their environmental performance and provide relevant information to the public. There is already evidence of growing interest in Responsible Care among East Asian companies.
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The EMAS is being adopted by a number of European companies engaged in business in East Asia.\footnote{625. See ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS AND CLEANER PRODUCTION (Howard J. Markman ed., 1997).}

B. INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR EAST ASIA'S ENVIRONMENTAL REFORMS

Increased cooperation and assistance from international donors and lenders, and international environmental organizations, are vital conduits for ecological modernizing reforms.\footnote{626. See especially Andreen, supra note 300.} Many developing countries lack the financial resources, technical expertise, and management systems to devise and implement sustainable development policies. In 1987, the World Commission on Environment and Development appealed for more international environmental cooperation, and requested that bilateral and multilateral donors provide more assistance to both governmental and non-governmental environmental institutions.\footnote{627. WORLD COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENT AND DEVELOPMENT, OUR COMMON FUTURE 319-30 (1987).} This clarion message has been reiterated many times.

Regional intergovernmental organizations in East Asia can be an instrument for the modernization of environmental laws and policies. An advantage of regional level collaboration is that it may be easier for states to reach agreement on environmental policy at the regional plane where there is less diversity of social and economic characteristics between the participating parties than would be found at a broader, global scale. Both the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) and the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN)\footnote{628. See generally the website of ASEAN, http://www.aseansec.org. ASEAN was established in 1969 and, as of 2004, consists of ten members: Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Brunei, Vietnam, Laos, Myanmar and Cambodia.} have formally incorporated environmental concerns into their agendas, although neither has challenged the economic status quo.

A variety of intergovernmental statements and agreements on environmental protection have been drafted by ASEAN.\footnote{629. These include: the Manila Declaration on the ASEAN Environment 1981; the ASEAN Agreement on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources 1985; the Kuala Lumpur Accord on the Environment and Development 1990; the Singapore Resolution on Environment and Development, the ASEAN Common Stand on Environment and Development 1992; and the Bandar Seri Begawan Resolution on Environment and Development 1994. Boer, supra note 159, at 1525. Intergovernmental environmental cooperation in Northeast Asia is not so as extensively institutionalised. See Kim Myungjin, ENVIRONMENTAL COOPERATION IN NORTHEAST ASIA, 22 IMPACT ASSESSMENT & PROJECT APPRAISAL 191 (2004).} Plans of Action have also been published periodically, such as
the ASEAN Strategic Plan of Action on the Environment 1999-2004, as well as specialist plans, such as the ASEAN Cooperative Plan on Transboundary Pollution 1995. According to Professor Ben Boer, "the effectiveness of such measures however suffers from weakness in monitoring, assisting and ensuring state compliance. This is because of the 'ASEAN way' and its preference for non-interference in the domestic affairs of member states; for non-binding plans, instead of treaties; and for centralized institutions with relatively little initiative and resources." The only ASEAN treaty for environmental protection — the ASEAN Agreement on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources 1985 — has never come into effect owing to insufficient ratifications. The APEC forum has had even less affection for environmental policy reform. In March 1994, APEC member governments adopted an Environmental Vision Statement and a Framework of Principles for integrating economic and environment policy concerns. Despite grand visions, optimistic agendas, and some gains in normative standards and capacity-building, APEC's broader sustainable development agenda has had "little to show in terms of implementation or improvements in environmental performance." Like ASEAN, its rhetoric of sustainability has failed to deliver, while its broad agenda of financial and market liberalization might even have accelerated natural resource degradation. There does, however, appear to be a tentative, long-term political commitment to environmental management across the region.

At a broader, global level, there are a miscellany of institutions and treaties that incorporate mechanisms to enable developing countries to modernize their domestic laws to implement treaty obligations. Various countries in East Asia are reforming

---
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their environmental laws in collaboration with international organizations.\textsuperscript{637} For example, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) has assisted scores of countries through its Environmental Law and Institutions Programme Activity Centre in Nairobi, and its UNEP Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific in Bangkok. Environmental law training programs have also been funded by the Asian Development Bank and coordinated by the National University of Singapore and the IUCN Commission on Environmental Law.\textsuperscript{638}

Besides such support, the channelling of financial and technological assistance through treaties is vital to enhance the institutional capacity of Newly Industrialized Countries. Most East Asian nations have ratified the major multilateral environmental treaties, many of which provide the states parties with enhanced access to environmental technologies and financial assistance.\textsuperscript{639} For example, China's success in reducing ozone-depleting chemicals owes principally to the financial and technological support it received from joining the Montreal Protocol.\textsuperscript{640} The Kyoto Protocol\textsuperscript{641} will likely play an even more influential role, mainly by means of its dedicated funds\textsuperscript{642} to help developing countries abate CO\textsubscript{2} emissions through technology transfer for energy efficiency and by land use and waste management changes.\textsuperscript{643} The Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) can also support investments in non-fossil energy projects in developing countries.\textsuperscript{644} China probably will become the largest recipient of CDM projects, and its authorities have already given priority
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to energy efficiency and renewable energy projects for CDM-finance.\textsuperscript{645}

China is estimated to be the world’s second-largest emitter of greenhouse gases (GHG), behind the United States.\textsuperscript{646} Most of China’s GHG emissions come from burning coal, which is the country’s staple energy source. Significantly, it seems that China’s CO$_2$ emissions decreased by 7.3 percent between 1996 and 2000 due to the implementation of new energy efficiency technologies and the closure of obsolete SOE factories.\textsuperscript{647} Although the PRC did not accept a mandatory emissions target when it ratified the Kyoto Protocol in August 2002, Chinese agencies have been developing programs to reduce CO$_2$ emissions in collaboration with international partners under the CDM program. The Chinese government has established a National CDM Management Office to coordinate its participation in the mechanism.\textsuperscript{648} So far, Canada has been the most active government to participate in CDM-related projects in China.\textsuperscript{649}

Bilateral and multilateral aid and loans can also provide a conduit for environmental assistance. Japan is the most generous bilateral donor in the region, and a significant number of its aid projects contain environmental improvement elements.\textsuperscript{650} The European Union (EU) is emerging as a major donor in the region, and its environmental initiatives include the EU-China Environmental Management Co-operation Programme (2001-2005),\textsuperscript{651} and the EU-China Liaoning integrated Environmental Programme (1999-2004).\textsuperscript{652} An increasing proportion of World Bank and Asian Development Bank finance is also tied to sustainable development practices.\textsuperscript{653} Typically, loan agreements include environmental standards such as EIA of proposed
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The environmental effects of foreign investment can be mitigated when project financing is linked to co-financing consortia with multilateral development agencies operating under usually stricter environmental management controls. In this way, a limited stream of aid money could serve as an environmental screen for a far larger pool of private capital. Co-financing arrangements are often sponsored through the World Bank Group’s International Finance Corporation and the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. All World Bank agencies are bound by the mother bank’s environmental and social policies.

At a strategic level, the World Bank also usually requires each borrower to prepare a national environmental strategy. Professor Michael Rock argues that such requirements have “attracted the attention of political and policy elites in East Asia and created a political space for those in and out of government who wanted to see more environmentally friendly pollution management policies.” No doubt, the international donor community itself has to undergo further institutional reform if it is to be a more positive driver of environmental change in East Asia. There is a litany of environmentally reckless investments supported by the World Bank and its financial accomplices. Apart from adopting stronger environmental policies, international financiers must increase their environmental staffing, improve coordination of assistance programs, and open up their operations to greater public information and participation.
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VI. CLOSING REMARKS

East Asia is industrializing very quickly, causing environmental policy to dangerously trail economic modernization. Its market reforms clearly present threats to the environment but also, ironically, some possible solutions. If the region is to avoid uneven modernization, policy-makers must prioritize long-term environmental health above short-term and possibly ephemeral economic gains. Interestingly, while the SEZs pose momentous environmental challenges, the zones do not appear to be suffering from pollution problems any worse than other parts of East Asia. There have been some problems associated with inappropriate relations between local administrations and businesses in the SEZs, but the environmental governance of SEZs appears, in general, to be at least as competent as other parts of East Asia’s economies. Because the SEZs attract new industries often utilizing more advanced technologies and efficient production methods, those firms can often pose a lower pollution burden compared to the old, industrial state-run enterprises. Foreign investment can certainly be a force that exacerbates environmental problems in developing countries, but it can also offer some environmental and social benefits, as the experience of China’s SEZs testifies. Because of their high profile and greater wealth, foreign investors in SEZs are also likely to be subject to stricter environmental regulation than local firms. So, the most pressing areas for environmental law reform are not necessarily those in the kernel of industrialization. The most serious environmental problems posed by East Asia’s SEZs tend to be more long-term and indirect, notably their contribution to the development of a Western-style consumer culture and an increase in greenhouse gas emissions.

International environmental law and intergovernmental assistance are necessary elements in the modernization of East Asia’s environmental regulations and policies. More use of economic instruments and other ecological modernization reforms will be vital to ensuring the sustainable economic transformation of East Asia’s SEZs. But while external forces can provide a catalyst for some change, they alone will not secure a sustainable future. The region’s legal and political systems pose a range of deep-rooted structural barriers to environmental reform. The rule of (environmental) law has yet to become firmly established in East Asia. A national constituency for law reform has to exist; it can neither be manufactured nor led from outside. While relevant laws, practices, and processes of law reform from other countries can and should be assessed during the reform programme, the output has to be seen to be national, dovetailing
into the existing corpus of law and appropriate to local circumstances. East Asian governments' growing preference for market-based governance would therefore appear to offer opportunities for ecological modernization policy reforms.

Most capacity-building efforts to date have focused on core national institutions but not on regional and local governments. Among the various problems is the need to enhance the institutional capacity of municipal authorities to be environmental regulators, and to change the incentive structure for taking environmental protection action. The extent to which national environmental policy goals are attained is strongly influenced by the effectiveness of the local implementation apparatus. Central governments should require all local administrations in the SEZs to establish environmental protection bureaux of first-tier status and equip them with adequate staff and resources. Some of the revenue from SEZs should be earmarked specifically for these bureaux. East Asian governments must also enhance institutional mechanisms for cross-jurisdictional environmental cooperation among local authorities.

Environmental law reform in the region must also be linked to wider changes to promote democracy, respect for human rights and good governance. Thus, opportunities for meaningful citizen participation in decision-making should be enhanced and the independence of the courts strengthened, which together can thereby help counterbalance the problematic alliances between local governments and local capital in some zones. The authors of a study on Civil Society and the Future of Environmental Governance in Asia argue that the "growth and effectiveness of [Asian] civil society - and the process of democratization that nourish it - are likely to be the most significant force in the emergence and implementation of [a new paradigm of ecologically sustainable and equitable development in Asia]."663 Their recommended direction for Asian governments is to include a broad array of stakeholders (both business and civil society) that can potentially function as a "crucial driver for paradigm and policy change."664 The development of a vibrant civil society and greater democratization is a crucial complement to the SEZ market reforms. Increased political pluralism can enhance the influence of civil society, providing an important counter-weight to the state and the market.
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