

9-18-2018

## Eighty Law Professors, Including 14 Osgoode Professors, Send Letter to Ontario Premier and the Attorney-General Critical of the Provincial Government's Use of the Notwithstanding Clause

various

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/media\\_mentions](https://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/media_mentions)

---

### Recommended Citation

various. "Eighty Law Professors, Including 14 Osgoode Professors, Send Letter to Ontario Premier and the Attorney-General Critical of the Provincial Government's Use of the Notwithstanding Clause." (18 September 2018):  
[https://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/media\\_mentions/1018](https://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/media_mentions/1018)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the News and Public Relations at Osgoode Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Media Mentions by an authorized administrator of Osgoode Digital Commons.

September 16, 2018

## **The Notwithstanding Clause – Only in the Last Resort**

Dear Premier Ford and Attorney General Mulroney,

In 1982, Canada became a full-fledged constitutional democracy. Parliamentary supremacy gave way to a democracy guided by the Constitution. All governments, federal and provincial, must act within the precepts of the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. The *Charter* guarantees all Canadians their fundamental rights and freedoms, subject only to such reasonable limits “as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society” (section 1).

Section 33 of the *Charter* – the so-called “notwithstanding clause” – allows governments to override some of the rights and freedoms in the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms*. The fundamental freedoms in section 2, the legal rights in section 7 to 14, and the equality rights in section 15 can be overridden by federal, provincial or territorial governments, by including a declaration clause in the legislation. The other rights in the *Charter* – including democratic rights– are not subject to section 33.

The framers of the Constitution included the notwithstanding clause as a compromise to achieve consensus. But, they firmly believed that the notwithstanding clause would only be used in exceptional circumstances. This has indeed been the case since the *Charter’s* enactment in 1982.

The notwithstanding clause has only been effectively invoked by two provinces. From 1982 to 1985, Quebec included a section 33 declaration in its legislation, to signal that its laws were not to be subject to the *Charter*, as a political protest. The Quebec government subsequently invoked section 33 to allow the government to limit the use of English signage. Finally, Saskatchewan has used it to force provincial employees to work and to allow the government to pay for non-Catholics to attend Catholic schools.

In 2000, Alberta tried to invoke the notwithstanding clause in its attempt to maintain the opposite sex definition of marriage, but the legislation was deemed ultra vires – the province did not have jurisdiction to define marriage. In 1982, Yukon also moved to invoke the clause in the *Land Planning and Development Act*. But the Act was never brought into force.

In 36 years, the notwithstanding clause has rarely been used. Liberal governments, NDP governments and Conservative governments at the federal and provincial levels have all been extremely reluctant to use the notwithstanding clause. Faced with judicial decisions declaring legislation unconstitutional, governments in Canada have sought alternative ways of bringing their laws into compliance with the Charter.

This is precisely what the framers of the Constitution had hoped and predicted. The notwithstanding clause was only to be used in the most exceptional circumstances.

Given this history, and the essential role of the *Charter* in reflecting and reinforcing our constitutional democracy in Canada, your decision to invoke of the notwithstanding clause is deeply troubling. The question of the size of Toronto's municipal government is a matter on which there is reasonable political disagreement. While the Superior Court of Ontario has declared the law unconstitutional, Justice Belobaba's ruling on *Bill 5* involves a challenging and novel balancing of *Charter* rights and government objectives. We take no position on *Bill 5*'s political desirability or its constitutionality. Rather, our concern is with the immediate move to invoke the notwithstanding clause; the reasons given to justify it; and the suggestion that you will not hesitate to invoke section 33 in future.

Premier Ford, you have stated that you will not allow the courts to override your political mandate. You have pointed out that you are elected, while the judge who ruled against *Bill 5* was appointed. This is not simply a matter of disagreeing with a court ruling. Rather, you have claimed that a majority government can not only ignore court rulings, but that it is also free to set aside constitutional rights.

Canada's constitutional democracy is premised on a balancing of majority rule and constitutional rights. Both legislatures and courts have a fundamental role to play in maintaining this crucial balance. You are not simply invoking the notwithstanding clause to pass a law – you are challenging the core principles underlying our constitutional democracy. You are questioning – and rejecting – the role of an independent judiciary in upholding the fundamental rights and freedoms of every person in Ontario.

Your government's unprecedented move to invoke the notwithstanding clause goes well beyond the question of the size of Toronto's city council. It is a dangerous precedent that strikes at the heart of our constitutional democracy.

We recognize that it is entirely within your government's power to invoke the notwithstanding clause. But it should never be the first resort – it should be the last. The notwithstanding clause must be the exception – not the rule.

Sincerely,

Professor Brenda Cossman  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Patrick Macklem  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Martha Jackman,  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Stéphane Beaulac  
Faculty of Law  
University of Montreal

Professor Kyle Kirkup  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Emeritus J Robert S Prichard  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Daphne Gilbert  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Richard Moon  
Faculty of Law  
University of Windsor

Professor Debra Steger  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Rosemary Cairns Way  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Teresa Scassa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Jacob J. Shelley  
Faculty of Law  
University of Western

Professor Jamie Chai Yun Liew  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Bruce Ryder  
Osgoode Hall Law School.

York University

Professor John Packer, Director  
Human Rights Research and Education Centre  
University of Ottawa

Professor Errol P. Mendes  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Craig Forcese  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor François J Larocque  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor J. Anthony VanDuzer  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Ravi Malhotra  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Ian Kerr  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Martha Shaffer  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Yasmin Dawood  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Jutta Brunnee  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Anthony Niblett  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Bruce Chapman  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Kerry Rittich  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Hamish Stewart  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor David Dyzenhaus  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Ariel Katz  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Ernest Weinrib  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Michael Trebilcock  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Christopher Essert  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Lorraine Weinrib  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Alan Brudner  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Abraham Drassinower  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Craig Scott  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Michael Lynk  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Heather Mcleod-Kilmurray  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Jim Phillips  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Douglas Sanderson  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Trudo Lemmens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Bernard Dickens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Rebecca Cook  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Catherine Valcke  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Wade Wright  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Professor Sonia Lawrence  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor David Vaver  
Osgoode Hall Law School

York University

Professor Emerita Shelley Gavigan  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Margaret E. Beare  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Roxanne Mykitiuk  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Jean Francois Gaudreault-Desbiens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Montreal

Professor Robert Leckey  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Ryan Alford  
Bora Laskin Faculty of Law  
Lakehead University

Professor Francis Chapman  
Bora Laskin Faculty of Law  
Lakehead University

Professor Dia Dabby  
Département des sciences juridiques,  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Dean Hugo Cyr,  
Faculté de science politique et de droit,  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Professor Jean Leclair  
Faculté de droit  
Université de Montréal

Professor Obiora Okafor  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University



Professor Emeritus Kent McNeil  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Benjamin Geva  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Sara Slinn  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Fay Faraday  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Mark Walters  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Nicholas Bala  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Lisa Kerr  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Sharry Aiken  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Trevor Farrow  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Benjamin Berger  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Kathleen Lahey  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Kate Berger  
Faculty of Law

Western University

Professor John Mark Keyes  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Aimée Craft  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Pierre Bosset  
Département des sciences juridiques  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Professor Debra Parkes  
Allard School of Law  
University of British Columbia

Professor Joel Bakan  
Allard School of Law  
University of British Columbia

Professor Johanne Poirier  
Faculty of Law,  
McGill University

Professor Margaret Ann Wilkinson  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Professor Daniel Weinstock  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Maxime St Hilaire  
Faculté de Droit  
Université de Sherbrooke

le 18 septembre 2018

## **La clause de dérogation – seulement en dernier recours**

Cher premier ministre Ford et chère procureure générale Mulroney,

En 1982, le Canada est devenu une démocratie constitutionnelle à part entière. La suprématie du Parlement a laissé place à une démocratie basée sur la Constitution. Tous les gouvernements, au fédéral et dans les provinces, doivent agir à l'intérieur des paramètres de la *Charte des droits et libertés*. La *Charte* garantit à tous les Canadiens et Canadiennes leurs droits et libertés fondamentaux, assujettis aux seules limites qui soient raisonnables et dont la justification puisse se démontrer dans le cadre d'une société libre et démocratique (article 1).

L'article 33 de la *Charte* – appelé la clause « nonobstant » ou « dérogatoire » – permet aux gouvernements de passer outre certains droits et libertés prévus par la *Charte des droits et libertés*. Les libertés fondamentales de l'article 2, les garanties juridiques des articles 7 à 14, ainsi que le droit à l'égalité de l'article 15 peuvent ainsi être mis de côté par un gouvernement territorial, provincial ou fédéral, et ce, en incluant une déclaration à cet effet dans une clause de la législation. Les autres droits prévus à la *Charte* – liberté de circulation, droits démocratiques, droits linguistiques – ne sont pas soumis à la clause de dérogation.

Les artisans de la Constitution ont inclus la clause de dérogation dans le but d'avoir un consensus; il s'agissait d'un compromis. Cependant, il était clair que cette clause devait être utilisée que dans des circonstances exceptionnelles. Et, de fait, cela a été le cas depuis l'enchâssement de la *Charte* en 1982.

La clause de dérogation n'a été invoquée, en réalité, que par deux provinces. Entre 1982 et 1985, Québec a inclus une déclaration sous l'article 33 dans ses textes législatifs, une posture de protestation politique, signalant que ses lois n'étaient pas soumises à la *Charte*. Le gouvernement du Québec, subséquemment, a invoqué l'article 33 afin de limiter l'affichage en anglais. Enfin, la Saskatchewan l'a utilisée afin de forcer le retour au travail d'employé(e)s de la province et pour permettre au gouvernement de payer les frais des non-Catholiques pour aller à l'école catholique.

En 2000, l'Alberta a essayé d'invoquer la clause de dérogation, dans une tentative de maintenir la définition du mariage entre personnes de sexes opposés; cette loi fut considérée ultra vires, puisque les provinces n'ont pas la compétence pour définir le mariage. En 1982, le Yukon a aussi essayé d'avoir recours à la clause, dans la *Loi sur l'urbanisme et le développement*. Celle-ci n'est toutefois jamais entrée en vigueur.

En 36 ans, la clause de dérogation a été rarement utilisée. Les gouvernements libéraux, les gouvernements néo-démocrates, les gouvernements conservateurs, tous ont été extrêmement réticents à y avoir recours. Si un gouvernement au pays était confronté à une décision judiciaire déclarant sa législation inconstitutionnelle,

il s'afférait à trouver une solution alternative pour que ses lois puissent respecter la *Charte*. Il s'agit là de l'approche que les artisans de la Constitution avaient souhaitée et prédite.

On peut avoir recours à la clause de dérogation, mais seulement dans des circonstances les plus exceptionnelles.

Compte tenu de son histoire, et du rôle essentiel de la *Charte* comme instrument validant et consolidant notre démocratie constitutionnelle au Canada, la menace du premier ministre Ford d'avoir recours à la clause de dérogation est fort troublante. La taille du gouvernement municipal de Toronto, certes, est une question au sujet de laquelle il y a des désaccords politiques. Même si la Cour supérieure de l'Ontario a déclaré la loi inconstitutionnelle, la décision du juge Belobaba relativement à la *Loi 5* est un cas unique et difficile, exigeant un équilibre entre les droits de la *Charte* et les objectifs du gouvernement. Nous ne prenons pas position sur l'opportunité politique ou la constitutionnalité de la *Loi 5*. Nos préoccupations concernent plutôt la réaction immédiate voulant invoquer la clause de dérogation, les raisons données pour le justifier, et la suggestion que le premier ministre Ford n'hésitera pas à utiliser l'article 33 dans le futur.

Monsieur Ford, vous avez déclaré que vous ne permettrez pas aux tribunaux de frustrer votre mandat politique. Vous soulignez avoir été élu, tandis que le juge ayant statué sur la *Loi 5*, lui, est nommé. Il n'en tient donc pas uniquement d'un désaccord avec l'issue d'un jugement. Vous affirmez plutôt qu'un gouvernement majoritaire peut non seulement ignorer une décision judiciaire, mais qu'il est aussi libre de mettre de côté des droits constitutionnels.

La démocratie constitutionnelle au Canada est fondée sur l'idée d'un équilibre entre la gouvernance majoritaire et les droits constitutionnels. Tant les législatures que les tribunaux ont un rôle fondamental à jouer afin de maintenir ce crucial équilibre. Vous ne faites pas simplement invoquer la clause de dérogation afin de passer votre texte de loi; vous attaquez les principes de base qui sous-tendent notre démocratie constitutionnelle. Vous mettez en doute – en fait, vous rejetez – le rôle du pouvoir judiciaire indépendant, qui protège les droits et libertés fondamentaux.

Monsieur Ford, la proposition sans précédent de votre gouvernement d'invoquer la clause de dérogation va bien au-delà de la question de la taille du conseil municipal de Toronto. Il s'agit d'un précédent dangereux, qui va au cœur de notre démocratie constitutionnelle.

Force est de reconnaître que votre gouvernement a tout le pouvoir nécessaire pour invoquer la clause de dérogation. Mais ça ne devrait pas être la première solution; il s'agit plutôt d'une mesure de dernier ressort. La clause de dérogation doit demeurer l'exception, et non devenir la règle.

Cordialement,

Professor Brenda Cossman  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Patrick Macklem  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Martha Jackman,  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Stéphane Beaulac  
Faculty of Law  
University of Montreal

Professor Kyle Kirkup  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Emeritus J Robert S Prichard  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Daphne Gilbert  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Richard Moon  
Faculty of Law  
University of Windsor

Professor Debra Steger  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Rosemary Cairns Way  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Teresa Scassa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Jacob J. Shelley  
Faculty of Law

Western University

Professor Jamie Chai Yun Liew  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Bruce Ryder  
Osgoode Hall Law School.  
York University

Professor John Packer, Director  
Human Rights Research and Education Centre  
University of Ottawa

Professor Errol P. Mendes  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Craig Forcese  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor François J Larocque  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor J. Anthony VanDuzer  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Ravi Malhotra  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Ian Kerr  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Martha Shaffer  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Yasmin Dawood  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Jutta Brunnee  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Anthony Niblett  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Bruce Chapman  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Kerry Rittich  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Hamish Stewart  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor David Dyzenhaus  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Ariel Katz  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Ernest Weinrib  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Michael Trebilcock  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Christopher Essert  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Lorraine Weinrib  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Alan Brudner  
Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

Professor Abraham Drassinower  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Craig Scott  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Michael Lynk  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Heather Mcleod-Kilmurray  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Jim Phillips  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Douglas Sanderson  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Trudo Lemmens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Bernard Dickens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Rebecca Cook  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Catherine Valcke  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

Professor Wade Wright  
Faculty of Law  
Western University



Professor Sonia Lawrence  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor David Vaver  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Emerita Shelley Gavigan  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Margaret E. Beare  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Roxanne Mykitiuk  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Jean Francois Gaudreault-Desbiens  
Faculty of Law  
University of Montreal

Professor Robert Leckey  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Ryan Alford  
Bora Laskin Faculty of Law  
Lakehead University

Professor Francis Chapman  
Bora Laskin Faculty of Law  
Lakehead University

Professor Dia Dabby  
Département des sciences juridiques,  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Dean Hugo Cyr,  
Faculté de science politique et de droit,  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Professor Jean Leclair  
Faculté de droit  
Université de Montréal

Professor Obiora Okafor  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Emeritus Kent McNeil  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Benjamin Geva  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Sara Slinn  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Fay Faraday  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Mark Walters  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Nicholas Bala  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Lisa Kerr  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Sharry Aiken  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Trevor Farrow  
Osgoode Hall Law School  
York University

Professor Benjamin Berger  
Osgoode Hall Law School

York University

Professor Kathleen Lahey  
Faculty of Law  
Queen's University

Professor Kate Berger  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Professor John Mark Keyes  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Aimée Craft  
Faculty of Law  
University of Ottawa

Professor Pierre Bosset  
Département des sciences juridiques  
Université du Québec à Montréal

Professor Debra Parkes  
Allard School of Law  
University of British Columbia

Professor Joel Bakan  
Allard School of Law  
University of British Columbia

Professor Johanne Poirier  
Faculty of Law,  
McGill University

Professor Margaret Ann Wilkinson  
Faculty of Law  
Western University

Professor Daniel Weinstock  
Faculty of Law  
McGill University

Professor Maxime St Hilaire  
Faculté de Droit  
Université de Sherbrooke