

[1988] S. C. R. Statistical Analysis

John H. Kim

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/ohlj>
Article

Citation Information

Kim, John H.. "[1988] S. C. R. Statistical Analysis." *Osgoode Hall Law Journal* 30.4 (1992) : 949-972.
<http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/ohlj/vol30/iss4/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Osgoode Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Osgoode Hall Law Journal by an authorized editor of Osgoode Digital Commons.

[1988] S.C.R. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS®

GENERAL TABLES

I. VOLUME OF WORK	950
II. BREAKDOWN BY SOURCE	951
III. SUBJECT MATTER OF LITIGATION	952
IV. MAJORITY/DISSENT RATIO	958
V. TYPE OF WORK	959
VI. ACTION OF THE JUSTICES	960

CHARTER TABLES

VII. SUCCESS RATE OF <i>CHARTER</i> CLAIMANTS	962
VIII. OBJECT OF <i>CHARTER</i> LITIGATION	963
IX. <i>CHARTER</i> LITIGATION BY SOURCE	964
X. SUBJECT OF <i>CHARTER</i> LITIGATION	965
XI. ACTION OF THE JUSTICES	968
XII. VOTING BEHAVIOUR OF JUSTICES	969
XIII. TYPE OF <i>CHARTER</i> CLAIMANTS	970
XIV. MAJORITY/DISSENT RATIO	971
XV. LEGAL RIGHTS AND SECTION 24(2)	972

TABLE I¹
VOLUME OF WORK

			TOTAL ²
Reported Judgments ³			
Private	Public		
19	86		104
Reported Motions			
Granted	Refused	Other	
1	1	0	2
Unreported Motions			
Granted	Refused	Other	
51	323	177	551

¹ All data considered in this table derive from the [1988] *Supreme Court Reports* and the [1988] *Bulletin of proceedings taken in the Supreme Court of Canada*.

² The following case has been included under both "Private" and "Public" categories but only once under "Total": *Angus v. Sun Alliance Insurance Co.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 256, ("Family Law - Other" and "Statutory Interpretation").

³ Appellate decisions and references are included under this heading; motions are not. A decision involving one or more appeals (including cross-appeals) or references is considered to be one case for the purpose of this category. Procedural cases are classified according to their underlying subject matter. If a case is classified under both "Private" and "Public," it is entered under each of these headings, but only once under "Total."

TABLE II¹
BREAKDOWN BY SOURCE

	PRIVATE ²			PUBLIC			Total From Source
	Affirmed	Reversed	Other	Affirmed	Reversed ³	Other ⁴	
Alberta	1	1	0	5	1	0	7
British Columbia	2	0	0	12	4	0	18
Manitoba	0	1	0	4	2	0	7
New Brunswick	0	3	1	2	1	0	7
Newfoundland & Labrador	1	0	0	2	0	0	3
Northwest Territories	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nova Scotia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	1	2	0	18	6	0	26
Prince Edward Island	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Quebec	1	5	0	9	10	1	25
Saskatchewan	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
Yukon Territory	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court Martial Appeal Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Board	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Court	0	0	0	1	4	0	5
TOTAL	6	12	1	56	30	1	104

¹ Only appellate decisions (including references on appeal from the decision of a lower court) are included in this table. Decisions may be classified under both "Private" and "Public" due to multiple subject matters. A decision involving one or more appeals (including cross-appeals) is entered once under "Affirmed," "Reversed," or "Other" unless the lower court was both affirmed and reversed, in which case the decision is entered once under two or more of "Affirmed," "Reversed," or "Other." A decision is entered only once under "Total From Source" unless it involves multiple appeals having different origins. Procedural decisions are classified according to their underlying subject matter.

² The following case has been included under both "Private" and "Public" categories but only once under "Total From Source": *Angus v. Sun Alliance Insurance Co.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 256.

³ In the following cases, the Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the decision of the lower Court: *Canadian National Railway Co. v. Courtois*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 868; and *R. v. Chesson*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 148.

⁴ The following cases have been classified as "Other": *Stamper v. Canadian National Railway Co.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 396, (the appeal was allowed in part); and *Devine v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 790, (the appeal was allowed in part).

TABLE III¹
SUBJECT MATTER OF LITIGATION²

This table indicates, first, the breakdown by subject matter of the reported cases; second, the number of cases decided by a given majority/dissent ratio within a given subject matter; and third, the number of "Appellate" cases in which the Supreme Court affirmed, reversed, or took other action with respect to the decision of the court immediately below.

	Number of Cases Reported	Majority/ Dissent Ratio	Affirmed	Reversed	Other
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION					
References					
Reported Motions ³	2	1-6:0 1-4:0			1 1
APPELLATE					
(a) PRIVATE (Common Law & Civil Law)					
(i) Administration & Succession					
Dependent's Relief					
Devolution					
Executors & Administrators					
Wills					
(ii) Commercial					
Accounts					
Agency & Partnership	1	1-4:1	1	0	0
Assignments					
Bankruptcy	1	1-6:0	0	1	0
Banks & Banking					
Bills & Notes					
Companies					
Contract	2	2-7:0	2	0	0
Debtor & Creditor					
Guarantees & Sureties					
Insurance	1	1-5:0	1	0	0
Interest					
Sale of Goods					
(iii) Family Law					
Adoption					
Child Welfare, Custody & Access	1	1-5:0	0	1	0
Divorce					
Judicial Separation					
Maintenance & Support					

	Number of Cases Reported	Majority/ Dissent Ratio	Affirmed	Reversed	Other
Matrimonial Property	1	1-5:0	0	1	0
Family Law - Other	1	1-5:0	0	1	0
(iv) <i>Intellectual Property</i>					
Copyrights					
Industrial Designs					
Patents					
Trademarks					
Intellectual Property - Other					
(v) <i>Land</i>					
Hypothecs & Mortgages					
Landlord & Tenant					
Construction & Mechanics' Liens	1	1-5:0	0	1	0
Real Property	1	1-4:0	0	1	0
(vi) <i>Torts</i>					
Assault & Battery					
Bailment					
Conspiracy & Intimidation					
Conversion & Detinue					
False Imprisonment					
Libel & Slander	1	1-3:2	0	1	0
Negligence	1	1-6:0	0	1	0
Nuisance					
Occupiers' Liability					
Trespass					
Vicarious Liability					
(vii) <i>Other</i>					
Associations					
Barristers & Solicitors	1	1-5:2	0	1	0
Charities					
Choses in Action					
Conflict of Laws					
Damages	3	1-7:0	1	0	0
		1-5:0	0	0	1 ⁴
		1-3:2	0	1	0
Maritime, Admiralty & Shipping					
Master & Servant					
Natural Resources					
Pensions					
Privilege					
Trusts & Trustees	1	1-7:0	1	0	0
Unjust Enrichment & Restitution					

	Number of Cases Reported	Majority/ Dissent Ratio	Affirmed	Reversed	Other
(b) PRIVATE (Civil Law)					
Preliminary Title					
I		Persons & Moral Persons			
I		Marriage, Separation & Divorce			
II		Property			
II		Dismemberments of Property			
III		Succession & Liberalities			
III		Obligations			
III		Proof			
III		Sale, Exchange & Lease			
III		Mandate, Partnerships & Suretyships			
III	1	Pledges, Privileges & Hypothecs	1-5:0	0	1 0
III	1	Registration & Prescription	1-4:0	0	1 0
III		Minor Nominate Contracts			
IV		Commercial Law & Insurance			
	2	Civil Law - Other	1-5:0 1-3:2	0 0	1 1 0
(c) PUBLIC					
	2	Aboriginal Rights	1-8:0 1-7:0	1 1	0 0 0
	2	Administrative Boards	1-5:0 1-5:3	0 0	1 1 0
		Assessment			
	1	<i>Certiorari</i>	1-4:0	0	1 0
	24	<i>Charter</i>	8-7:0 4-6:0 5-5:0 2-5:1 1-5:2 1-5:3 1-4:2 1-3:1 1-3:2	7 3 4 2 0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0
	3	Civil Rights	3-5:0	1	1 1 ⁵
	1	Combines	1-7:0	1	0 0
		Communications			
	13	Constitutional	1-8:0 2-7:0 7-6:0 ⁶	1 2 5	0 0 2 0

	Number of Cases Reported	Majority/ Dissent Ratio	Affirmed	Reversed	Other
Constitutional (cont'd)		1-5:0	0	0	1 ⁵
		1-6:2	0	1	0
		1-5:2	0	1	0
		1-4:3	0	1	0
Criminal	46	11-7:0	8	3	0
		4-6:0	3	1	0
		19-5:0	16	3	0
		4-4:0 ⁷	2	2	0
		1-6:2	0	1	0
		2-5:2	1	1	0
		1-4:1	1	0	0
		1-5:3	1	0	0
		1-4:2	1	0	0
		1-3:2	0	1	0
		2-4:3	2	0	0
Crown & Sovereign Immunity	1	1-5:0	1	0	0
Elections					
Environmental					
Expropriation					
Extradition	2	1-7:0	1	0	0
		1-4:3	1	0	0
Habeas Corpus	1	1-3:2	0	1	0
Human Rights					
Immigration					
International	1	1-7:0	1	0	0
Judicial Review	2	1-4:0	1	0	0
		1-4:1	0	1	0
Labour	3	1-6:0	1	0	0
		1-4:0	1	0	0
		1-4:1	0	1	0
Mandamus					
Municipal & Planning	2	2-5:0	0	2	0
Prohibition					
Public Utilities					
Securities					
Statutory Interpretation	2	2-5:0	1	1	0
Taxation	1	1-5:0	1	0	0
Transportation					
Unemployment	3	2-6:0	0	2	0
		1-4:3	0	1	0

	Number of Cases Reported	Majority/ Dissent Ratio	Affirmed	Reversed	Other
(d) PROCEDURAL					
Appeal	6	1-7:0 2-6:0 1-5:0 1-4:0 1-5:3	1 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0
Costs					
Declaratory Action					
Evidence	10	3-7:0 1-6:0 2-5:0 1-4:0 1-5:1 1-5:2 1-3:2	3 1 2 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Injunctions	1	1-5:0	0	1	0
Jurisdictions	4	1-5:0 1-4:0 1-4:1 1-4:2	0 1 1 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
Limitation Period					
Procedural - Other	6	2-6:0 4-5:0	2 1	0 2	0 1 ⁴
Procedure	3	1-7:0 1-5:0 1-4:3	1 0 1	0 1 0	0 0 0
Res Judicata					
Standing					

¹ A decision involving one or more appeals (including cross-appeals), motions, or references is considered to be one case for the purposes of this table unless the results differ with respect to affirmation or reversal, or the vote or composition of majority or minority varies among the appeals, motions or references.

Multiple entries are made if a case involves more than one subject matter of importance. Appeals from decisions on references brought before lower courts are classified according to subject matter under "Appellate."

² The following cases have been included under two or more subject categories: *R. v. Simpson*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 3, ("Criminal," "Evidence," and "Procedural - Other"); *R. v. Morgentaler*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 30, ("Charter," "Constitutional," and "Criminal"); *R. v. Antoine*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 212, ("Criminal" and "Procedure"); *R. v. Green*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 228, ("Criminal" and "Evidence"); *R. v. Lévesque*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 231, ("Criminal" and "Appeal"); *R. v. Mercure*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 234,

("Constitutional" and "Criminal"); *Molchan v. Omega Oil and Gas Ltd.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 348, ("Agency & Partnership" and "Jurisdictions"); *Stamper v. Canadian National Railway Co.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 396, ("Procedural - Other" and "Damages"); *R. v. Cornell*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 461, ("Constitutional," "Charter," and "Criminal"); *Snyder v. Montreal Gazette Ltd.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 494, ("Libel & Slander," "Damages," and "Civil Law - Other"); *Sport Maska Inc. v. Zittler*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 564, ("Civil Law - Other" and "Procedural - Other"); *R. v. Hufsky*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 621, ("Charter," "Criminal," and "Constitutional"); *R. v. Thomsen*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 640, ("Charter" and "Criminal"); *R. v. Dairy Supplies Ltd.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 665, ("Charter" and "Evidence"); *R. v. James*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 669, ("Charter" and "Evidence"); *R. v. Corbett*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 670, ("Charter" and "Evidence"); *R. v. Holmes*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 914, ("Charter" and "Criminal"); *R. v. Parisien*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 950, ("International" and "Extradition"); *R. v. Francis*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1025, ("Constitutional" and "Aboriginal Rights"); *Corporation professionnelle des médecins du Québec v. Thibault*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1033, ("Charter" and "Appeal"); *Sacchetti v. Lockheimer*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1049, ("Real Property," "III Registration & Prescription," and "Appeal"); *R. v. Dufresne*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1095, ("Criminal" and "Procedural - Other"); *R. v. Moore*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1097, ("Criminal" and "Procedure"); *Industries Providair Inc. v. Kolomeir*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1132, ("III Pledges, Privileges & Hypothecs" and "Construction & Mechanics' Liens"); *R. v. Stevens*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1153, ("Charter" and "Criminal"); *R. v. Whyte*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 3, ("Charter" and "Criminal"); *Forget v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 90, ("Appeal" and "Administrative Boards"); *R. v. Papalia*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 137, ("Criminal" and "Evidence"); *Newfoundland (A.G.) v. N.A.P.E.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 204, ("Procedural - Other" and "Labour"); *B.C.G.E.U. v. British Columbia (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 214, ("Jurisdictions," "Constitutional," and "Charter"); *Quebec (A.G.) v. Girouard*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 254, ("Criminal" and "Certiorari"); *Angus v. Sun Alliance Insurance Co.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 256, ("Statutory Interpretation" and "Family Law - Other"); *R. v. Morin*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 345, ("Criminal," "Evidence," and "Procedural - Other"); *R. v. Beare*; *R. v. Higgins*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 387, ("Charter" and "Criminal"); *R. v. Schwartz*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 443, ("Charter," "Criminal," and "Jurisdictions"); *R. v. Simmons*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 495, ("Charter" and "Evidence"); *T.W.U. v. British Columbia Telephone Co.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 564, ("Judicial Review" and "Labour"); *R. v. Gamble*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 595, ("Charter" and "Habeas Corpus"); *Ford v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712, ("Charter," "Statutory Interpretation," and "Civil Rights"); *Devine v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 790, ("Constitutional," "Charter," and "Civil Rights"); *R. v. Bernard*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 833, ("Criminal" and "Evidence"); *R. v. Strachan*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 980, ("Charter," "Criminal" and "Evidence"); *R. v. Mailloux*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 1029, ("Criminal" and "Appeal"); and *U.E.S., Local 298 v. Bibeault*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 1048, ("Labour," "Judicial Review," and "Administrative Boards").

³ Motions were disposed in the following manner: *Central Trust Co. v. Rafuse*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 1206, the application to vary judgment was granted on rehearing; and *Gell v. Canadian Pacific Ltd.*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 271, the application for leave to extend the time period within which to appeal was dismissed.

⁴ In *Stamper v. Canadian National Railway Co.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 396, the appeal was allowed in part.

⁵ In *Devine v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 790, the appeal was allowed in part.

⁶ In *C.N.R. v. Courtois*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 868, one appeal was allowed and the cross-appeal was dismissed.

⁷ In *R. v. Chesson*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 148, one appeal was allowed and one was dismissed.

TABLE IV¹
MAJORITY/DISSENT RATIO

Total Number of Cases Reported				106			
Unanimous Decisions				85			
Split Decisions				21			
9:0	0	8:0	1	7:0	21	6:0	18
8:1	0	7:1	0	6:1	0	5:1	2
7:2	0	6:2	1	5:2	3	4:2	1
6:3	0	5:3	2	4:3	5	3:3	0
5:4	0	4:4	0				
5:0	39	4:0	6	3:0	0	1:0	0
4:1	3	3:1	1	2:1	0		
3:2	3	2:2	0				

¹ Both "Original Jurisdiction" and "Appellate" decisions are included in this table. A decision involving one or more appeals (including cross-appeals), motions, or references is considered to be one case for the purposes of this table unless the composition of majority and minority varies among the appeals, motions, or references. If the ratios differ, they will be included in this table but not in the "Total Number of Cases Reported." Dissenting judgments include dissents in part.

TABLE VI
TYPE OF WORK

	Common Law	Civil Law	Constitutional	Criminal	Other Public Law	Reported Motions
Beetz	5	5	26	25	17	2
Dickson	8	1	30	26	13	1
Estey	3	0	5	14	3	0
La Forest	8	3	27	34	17	1
Lamer	7	5	28	40	20	1
Le Dain	2	4	14	20	10	1
L'Heureux-Dubé	7	3	15	28	16	1
McIntyre	11	1	28	39	17	1
Wilson	10	3	27	37	19	2

¹ Both "Original Jurisdiction" and "Appellate" decisions are included in this table. A decision involving one or more appeals (including cross-appeals), motions, or references is considered to be one case for the purposes of this table. Procedural cases and references are classified according to their underlying subject matter. Cases involving multiple subject matters may be classified under one or more of "Common Law," "Civil Law," "Constitutional," "Criminal," or "Other Public Law."

TABLE VII¹
SUCCESS RATE OF *CHARTER* CLAIMANTS²

	Number	Per cent
<i>Charter</i> Claimant Wins ³	6	26.1
<i>Charter</i> Claimant Loses	17	73.9
Other		
Total	23	100.0

¹ "Claimant Wins" includes cases in which both the *Charter* claim and the disposition are successful. "Claimant Loses" includes cases in which both the *Charter* claim and the disposition are unsuccessful. "Other" includes cases in which the claimant wins the *Charter* argument but loses the disposition on other grounds, or the claimant loses the *Charter* argument but wins on other grounds.

² *R. v. Beare* and *R. v. Higgins*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 387, have been noted together for this table and all subsequent tables.

³ In *Devine v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 790, the appeal was allowed in part. Because substantially all the *Charter* arguments were successful, this case has been included in the category "Claimant Wins."

TABLE VIII
OBJECT OF CHARTER LITIGATION¹

		Number	Per cent	Success Rate (%)
Legislation: ²	Federal	12	52.2	8.3
	Provincial	4	17.4	75
	Territorial			
	Municipal			
Administrative: Decisions				
Rules				
Conduct or Decisions of Public Officials		11	47.8	18.2
Common Law				

¹ The following cases have been included under more than one category: *R. v. Hufsky*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 621, ("Federal Legislation" and "Provincial Legislation"); *R. v. Simmons*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 495, ("Federal Legislation" and "Conduct or Decisions of Public Officials"); *R. v. Jacoy*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 548, ("Federal Legislation" and "Conduct or Decisions of Public Officials"); and *R. v. Strachan*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 980, ("Federal Legislation" and "Conduct or Decisions of Public Officials.")

² "Legislation" includes subordinate legislation, orders in council, and regulations. If the legislation expressly or by necessary implication authorizes the limitation of the *Charter* right or freedom, it will fall under "Legislation." If the legislation confers a broad discretion, it will be classified as an "Administrative Decision" or "Administrative Rule."

TABLE IX
CHARTER LITIGATION BY SOURCE

Source	# of Cases	% of Cases	Lower Decisions			Claimant		
			Affirmed	Reversed	Other	Wins	Loses	Other
Alberta								
British Columbia	6	26.1	6				6	
Manitoba	2	8.7	2				2	
New Brunswick								
Newfoundland								
Nova Scotia								
Ontario	9	39.1	8	1		2	7	
P.E.I.	1	4.3	1				1	
Quebec	4	17.4	1	3		3	1	
Saskatchewan	1	4.3		1			1	
N.W.T. and Yukon								
Federal Court								
Federal Reference								
Total	23	100.0	18	5		5	18	

Section	# of Cases	Claimant			Right or Freedom		Section 1		
		Wins	Loses	Other	Limited	Not Ltd	Limit Save	Doesn't Save	Other
(i) Benefit of lesser punishment									
(h) Double jeopardy	1	1			1			1	
12. Treatment or Punishment									
13. Self-incrimination									
14. Interpreter									
15. Equality Rights									
(1) Race									
National or ethnic origin									
Colour									
Religion									
Sex									
Age									
Mental or physical disability									
Aboriginal peoples									
Other ³	1		1				1		
s. 15(1) SUBTOTAL	1		1				1		
(2) Affirmative action									
16. - 22. Official Languages									
23. Minority Language									
Educational Rights									
24(1) Enforcement	2 ⁴	1	1		1			1	
(2) Exclusion of Evidence	1 ⁵		1						
25. Aboriginal Rights									
26. Other Rights & Freedoms									
27. Multicultural Heritage									
28. Rights Guaranteed Equally									
29. Rights Respecting Schools									
30. Application to Territories									
31. Legislative Powers									
32. Application of <i>Charter</i>									
33. Exception	2	2			2			2	

¹ The categories of analysis in this table are as follows: the number of times a particular section or subsection was considered; the number of cases in which the claimant wins or loses; the number of cases decided otherwise; the number of decisions in which the *Charter* right or freedom was found to be limited or not limited; and the number of decisions in which the limit was saved or not saved by section 1, or was decided on another basis.

² In *R. v. Gamble*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 595, the claimant was successful. The Court held that the limit to section 7, "liberty," was not in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice. The Court did not pronounce on section 1 but granted declaratory relief under section 24(1).

³ In *R. v. Cornell*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 461, the claimant used section 15 to argue for equality before the law on the basis of province of residence.

⁴ In *R. v. James*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 669, aff'g (1988), 55 O.R. (2nd) 609, the Court was in substantial agreement with the reasons given by Tarnopolsky J.A. for the Ontario Court of Appeal. In its judgment, the Ontario Court of Appeal held that a person's rights guaranteed by the *Charter* have not been infringed when the seizure has taken place prior to the proclamation of the *Charter*, even when the search or seizure was illegal or unreasonable. Section 24(1), therefore, cannot apply.

⁵ In *R. v. Dairy Supplies Ltd.*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 665, no error was found in the Manitoba Court of Appeal's exercise of its jurisdiction to review the judge's finding under section 24(2).

TABLE XII¹
VOTING BEHAVIOUR OF JUSTICES

Justice	Majority			Minority			Support			Section 1					
	Judgment For			Judgment For			Concurs With			Concurs With					
	Claimant	Gov't	Other	Claimant	Gov't	Other	Claimant	Gov't	Other	Claimant	Gov't	Other	Saves Limit	Doesn't Save	Other
Beetz	3	2		2	10				1	5	13		3	5	
Dickson	3	7		2	8		1			5	16		4	5	
Estey				1	3					1	3		2	1	
La Forest	1	4		1	11		1		1	3	16		4	2	
Lamer	4	2		2	9		1		1	9	11		2	8	
Le Dain		4		1	3					1	7		2	1	
L'Heureux-Dubé		2		1	7				1	2	9		2	1	
McIntyre	2	5		2	11		2			3	18		3	3	
Wilson	4	3		2	9		1			7	11		3	6	

¹ "Support for Claimant" is the sum of those judgments and concurrences decided in favour of the claimant's *Charter* argument, regardless of the disposition. "Support for Government" is the sum of those judgments and concurrences decided in favour of the government's *Charter* arguments, regardless of the disposition. "Section 1" notes the number of times a justice pronounces on section 1 for each constitutional issue. Therefore, a case can be counted twice if there are multiple issues.

TABLE XIII
TYPE OF CHARTER CLAIMANTS¹

	# of Cases	% of Cases	Claimant			Interveners Present			
			Wins	Losses	Other	For Claimant # of Cases	For Gov't # of Cases	For Both # of Cases	For Both Cl'nt Wins
Business Corporations	3	13	2	1		2	2		
Individuals	20	83	5	15		1	1	13	3
Interest Groups									
Unions	1	4						1	
Other									

¹ *Ford v. Quebec (A.G.)*, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712, has been included under both "Business Corporations" and "Individuals."

TABLE XIV
MAJORITY/DISSENT RATIO

Unanimous Decisions				16
Split Decisions				7

9:0	0	8:0	0	7:0	8	6:0	3
8:1	0	7:1	0	6:1	0	5:1	2
7:2	0	6:2	0	5:2	1	4:2	1
6:3	0	5:3	1	4:3	0	3:3	0
5:4	0	4:4	0				
5:0	5	4:0	0	3:0	0	1:0	0
4:1	0	3:1	1	2:1	0		
3:2	1	2:2	0				
