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The cases set out under each heading will form the basis of class discussion. They are in the order that the class topics will follow. You will be expected to have read the assigned cases in advance of each class. Most of the cases are to be found in the obligatory text, Waddams, McCamus, Girgis, etc., Cases and Materials on Contracts (6th Edition) (identified as “Waddams”, followed by the page number at which the relevant case is located). You will also need the photocopied supplemental materials (identified as “Supplement”).
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The York University academic integrity website can be found at http://www.yorku.ca/academicintegrity. The Senate Policy and Osgoode Academic Rules are also found in the Student Handbook, which is available on the MyOsgoode website, under the "Documents and Publications" tab.
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d. Students with Disabilities
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